Letter to the editor

Latrogenic glenoid rim fracture during manipulation of a posterior dislocation

Sir,

A 35 year old right hand dominant man fell off his mountain bike directly onto the anterior aspect of his left shoulder. Both initial and repeat adequate radiographs clearly showed a posterior dislocation of the left shoulder with a reverse Hill–Sacs lesion but no evidence of a glenoid fracture[1] [Figure 1]. Several attempts using both Kocher's technique[2] and the Hippocratic method[3] were made to reduce the joint in A + E with the use of intravenous midazolam and fentanyl, and inhaled entonox to sedate the patient. The post-reduction films showed the shoulder remained dislocated. No further attempt was made to perform a closed reduction. Computed tomography (CT) was performed later the same day, which showed the dislocated joint, a large reverse Hill–Sacs lesion, and the glenoid fracture which was displaced laterally into the defect [Figure 2]. The following day, the patient underwent open reduction and a modified MacLaughlin procedure.[4] The glenoid fracture reduced spontaneously into an anatomical position following reduction of the joint and therefore did not need surgical fixation.

We are not aware of a similar previous case where closed manipulation of a posteriorly dislocated shoulder has caused a fracture of the posterior rim of the glenoid. In this case, external rotation of the joint during incorrectly applied Kocher's technique could potentially exert enough force through the glenoid rim for it to fracture. Following the initial manipulation and iatrogenic injury, it may have been that the laterally displaced glenoid rim fracture then prevented successful reduction during closed manipulation. As the glenoid fracture was initially lateral and reduced following an open reduction, we felt that fixation was not required as this was not a typical Bankart lesion.

It would be reasonable to advise that when there is a significant delay to the diagnosis and management of a shoulder posterior dislocation, A + E staff should not attempt reduction. It would be more appropriate to seek specialist advice given that soft tissues are likely to be less pliable, and therefore there is potentially an increased risk of complication or failure to achieve reduction. We would also consider a CT scan prior to manipulation to rule out an occult fracture.

An error was made by using Kocher's technique to attempt to reduce a posterior dislocation. Furthermore, the patient was placed in a simply broad arm sling after manipulation, internally rotating the joint and in the presence of a large anterior reverse Hill–Sacs lesion. This may be another cause for failure of closed reduction. An appropriate method for reducing shoulder posterior dislocation is with abduction and external rotation or the Hippocratic technique, and once reduced, an external rotation brace should be applied.
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Announcement

iPhone App

A free application to browse and search the journal’s content is now available for iPhone/iPad. The application provides “Table of Contents” of the latest issues, which are stored on the device for future offline browsing. Internet connection is required to access the back issues and search facility. The application is Compatible with iPhone, iPod touch, and iPad and Requires iOS 3.1 or later. The application can be downloaded from http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/medknow-journals/id458064375?ls=1&mt=8. For suggestions and comments do write back to us.