ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study presents a retrospective case series of the clinical and structural outcomes (1.5 T MRI) of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with acellular human dermal graft reinforcement performed by a single surgeon in patients with large, massive, and previously repaired rotator cuff tears.

Materials and Methods: Fourteen patients with mean anterior to posterior tear size 3.87 ± 0.99 cm (median 4 cm, range 2.5–6 cm) were enrolled in the study and were evaluated for structural integrity using a high-field (1.5 T) MRI at an average of 16.8 months after surgery. The Constant-Murley scores, the Flexilevel Scale of Shoulder Function (Flex SF), scapular plane abduction, and strength were analyzed.

Results: MRI results showed that the rotator cuff repair was intact in 85.7% (12/14) of the patients studied. Two patients had a Sugaya Type IV recurrent tear (2 of 14; 14.3%), which were both less than 1 cm. The Constant score increased from a preoperative mean of 49.72 (range 13–74) to a postoperative mean of 81.07 (range 45–92) (P value = 0.009). Flexilevel Scale of Shoulder Function (Flex SF) Score normalized to a 100-point scale improved from a preoperative mean of 53.69 to a postoperative mean of 79.71 (P value = 0.003). The Pain Score improved from a preoperative mean of 7.73 to a postoperative mean of 13.57 (P value = 0.008). Scapular plane abduction improved from a preoperative mean of 7.73 to a postoperative mean of 13.57 (P value = 0.008). Scapular plane abduction improved from a preoperative mean of 113.64° to a postoperative mean of 166.43° (P value = 0.010). The strength subset score improved from a preoperative mean of 1.73 kg to a postoperative mean of 7.52 kg (P value = 0.006).

Conclusions: This study presents a safe and effective technique that may help improve the healing rates of large, massive, and revision rotator cuff tears with the use of an acellular human dermal allograft. This technique demonstrated favorable structural healing rates and statistically improved functional outcomes in the near term.

Level of Evidence: 4. Retrospective case series.
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INTRODUCTION

Rotator cuff tears greater than or equal to 3 cm along with previously failed or revision rotator cuff tears are considered the most challenging in achieving primary anatomic healing, with reported failure rates as high as 94%. The strength of repair and biologic healing potential, coupled with the intrinsic limitations in the rotator cuff tendon tissue, are other potential variables responsible for these high failure rates. Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair, reinforced with a graft, may offer the potential benefits of a scaffold for tissue replacement, structural support of the repair during the crucial
healing period, and improved healing rates. This study presents a retrospective case series of the clinical and structural outcomes (1.5 T MRI) of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with acellular human dermal graft reinforcement performed by a single surgeon in patients with large, massive, and previously repaired rotator cuff tears.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection
Patients diagnosed with a rotator cuff tear requiring either a primary or revision arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with reinforcement graft utilizing Allopatch HD™ Hydrated Ultra Thick (MTF Sports Medicine, Edison, NJ, USA) and who were at least 12 months from the index operation were identified through a retrospective chart review. In order to be eligible for study inclusion, the following criteria had to be met:
1. Rotator cuff tears greater than or equal to 3 cm.
2. Patients with recurrent rotator cuff tears (previously failed repair) – may be less than 3 cm.

All study patients received an arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with arthroscopic acellular human dermal graft reinforcement utilizing Allopatch HD Hydrated Ultra Thick (MTF Sports Medicine). The same surgeon using the same technique and graft material performed all surgeries. From September 2009 to February 2010, a total of 44 consecutive patients were identified for study inclusion.

44 patients: Preliminary patient pool
3 patients with bridging grafts were excluded
5 patients with active litigation or workers compensation claims were excluded
5 patients with concurrent subscapularis repairs were excluded
8 patients with postoperative injuries were excluded
5 patients were lost to follow-up

Of the 44 preliminary patients, 18 (40.9%) formed the final sample of patients eligible to participate in the study. Study protocol was fully approved by the Hospital Institutional Review Board and all the patients were enrolled in compliance with the protocol. Four patients (4 of 18; 22.2%) declined to participate in the study protocol. One of these four patients had an MRI at 7 months after surgery, demonstrating a fully healed (Sugaya Type I) rotator cuff tear, but did not return for a second evaluation as part of the study. Another patient with a massive revision rotator cuff repair had returned for a 1-year clinical follow-up visit (Constant-Murley Score 82, Flex SF 100, strength 8.16 kg), but due to metallic implants from previous surgery, did not want to proceed with a computed tomography (CT) arthrogram and elected to not participate. Two other patients chose not to participate in the study. Ten patients were males (71.4%) and four patients were females (28.6%), with an overall average age of 54.6 years (standard deviation 6.54) at the time of surgery (range 47–69). One patient had a 2.5 cm tear at surgery and was included for study because of a previously failed rotator cuff repair. Ten patients had large tears (3–5 cm) and three patients had massive tears (>5 cm). The tear was determined intraoperatively under direct arthroscopic visualization after debridement of the degenerated tendon edges. The size of the rotator cuff tear was measured in the greatest anterior–posterior dimension using a calibrated probe.

Clinical outcome measures
All patients underwent a detailed workup and received appropriate conservative management prior to choosing arthroscopic treatment. Standardized and validated outcome measures for shoulder function, the Constant-Murley Score and Flexilevel Scale of Shoulder Function (Flex SF) Score for each patient were collected preoperatively and at the clinic follow-up visit at 1 year. For ease of comparison, the Flex SF Score was normalized to a 100-point maximum scale. A postoperative visual analog scale (VAS) which asked patients to rate their shoulder function on a scale of 1–100 (100 being the best possible function) was administered at least 1 year post surgery. One nurse performed the manual muscle strength portion of the Constant-Murley Score using a standardized position of abduction to 90° in the scapular plane and a calibrated portable digital dynamometer (Ametek Inc., Chatillon FCE-200, Largo, FL, USA). Co-morbid conditions and other relevant covariates such as patient age, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, the size of rotator cuff tears, and compliance with rehabilitation protocols were also collected.

Operative technique
All surgeries were performed in the modified lateral decubitus position with balanced suspension (5–10 pounds) under general anesthesia with a preoperative brachial plexus catheter placed with ultrasound. All other shoulder pathologies were evaluated and treated prior to proceeding with the rotator cuff repair. One patient had a hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy 1a (Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease) prior to proceeding with the rotator cuff repair. Two other patients chose not to participate in the study. Ten patients were males (71.4%) and four patients were females (28.6%), with an overall average age of 54.6 years (standard deviation 6.54) at the time of surgery (range 47–69). One patient had a 2.5 cm tear at surgery and was included for study because of a previously failed rotator cuff repair. Ten patients had large tears (3–5 cm) and three patients had massive tears (>5 cm). The tear was determined intraoperatively under direct arthroscopic visualization after debridement of the degenerated tendon edges. The size of the rotator cuff tear was measured in the greatest anterior–posterior dimension using a calibrated probe.

Clinical outcome measures
All patients underwent a detailed workup and received appropriate conservative management prior to choosing arthroscopic treatment. Standardized and validated outcome measures for shoulder function, the Constant-Murley Score and Flexilevel Scale of Shoulder Function (Flex SF) Score for each patient were collected preoperatively and at the clinic follow-up visit at 1 year. For ease of comparison, the Flex SF Score was normalized to a 100-point maximum scale. A postoperative visual analog scale (VAS) which asked patients to rate their shoulder function on a scale of 1–100 (100 being the best possible function) was administered at least 1 year post surgery. One nurse performed the manual muscle strength portion of the Constant-Murley Score using a standardized position of abduction to 90° in the scapular plane and a calibrated portable digital dynamometer (Ametek Inc., Chatillon FCE-200, Largo, FL, USA). Co-morbid conditions and other relevant covariates such as patient age, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, the size of rotator cuff tears, and compliance with rehabilitation protocols were also collected.

Operative technique
All surgeries were performed in the modified lateral decubitus position with balanced suspension (5–10 pounds) under general anesthesia with a preoperative brachial plexus catheter placed with ultrasound. All other shoulder pathologies were evaluated and treated prior to proceeding with the rotator cuff repair. One patient had a hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy 1a (Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease). This patient and another study patient also had an ossified superior transverse scapular ligament (Bony SSN) that was decompressed. Other procedures performed at the same time as the rotator cuff repair are presented in Table 1. After appropriate visualization and preparation of the rotator cuff tear, the size and pattern of the tear was determined by direct arthroscopic visualization of the degenerated tendon edges. The size of the rotator cuff tear was measured in the greatest anterior–posterior dimension using a calibrated probe.
of the rotator cuff tear was determined. All the patients in the study had the same technique of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a dual row tension band repair with acellular human dermal graft reinforcement. The dual row portion of the repair started with a suture anchor at the medial aspect of the rotator cuff footprint at the anterior and posterior margins of the rotator cuff tear. A single suture pair was used from the medial row anchors and the second suture removed after anchor placement. The correct pattern of the rotator cuff tear was determined in order to restore equalized tension in the entire rotator cuff. Once the correct pattern was determined, the medial row sutures were passed through the appropriate area of the medial rotator cuff tendon. These sutures were retrieved via an accessory portal and saved for the graft reinforcement. The tension band technique was performed with dual loaded suture anchors placed distal to the rotator cuff footprint at the proximal lateral cortex of the humerus. Based on the pattern of the tear, side-to-side sutures were passed and tied to achieve restoration of the rotator cuff footprint. Sutures were passed through the rotator cuff tendon with a Spectrum™ suturing hook device (Linvatec CONMED, Utica, NY, USA). A PDS™ II (polydioxanone) suture (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) was utilized as a suture shuttle to allow placement of a permanent braided suture for side-to-side repairs – Orthocord™ Suture (DePuy Mitek, Raynham, MA, USA) or MaxBraid™ PE Suture (Biomet, Warsaw, IN, USA). Dual loaded suture anchors used for the study were either ALLthread™ LactoSorb L15 5.5 mm and 6.8 mm Suture Anchors (Biomet) or the Healix™ BR 4.5 mm and 5.5 mm Suture Anchors (DePuy Mitek). Each suture was placed and tied sequentially. All sutures were placed in a simple single passage configuration with the suture passed medially through the rotator cuff tendon, typically at least 10–15 mm from the lateral edge of the tendon. Additional suture anchors were placed at the proximal lateral cortex of the humerus to achieve complete repair [Figure 1]. Planning for the reinforcement graft, one pair of sutures was preserved from the lateral row of anchors at the very anterior and posterior corners of the tear. Allopatch HD Ultra Thick (1.8–3.9 mm) is an acellular human dermis graft (MTF Sports Medicine) that is packaged in alcohol and stored at ambient temperature. The 5 × 5 cm size was selected and prepared for implantation based on manufacturer’s recommendations. The dimensions between each set of corner sutures from the medial and lateral row were measured with the graduated probe and transferred to the Allopatch HD graft on the back table. After trimming the graft to the appropriate dimensions, a single limb of the anterior and posterior lateral row sutures was retrieved through a single lateral cannula. Care was taken to keep the suture limbs parallel, while the remaining lateral limbs of suture were retrieved via another cannula to provide countertraction. The anterior-lateral and posterior-lateral sutures were passed through the corresponding points of the Allopatch HD graft and with tension on both ends of the suture limbs. An arthroscopic grasper was used to push the medial limb of the graft first through the cannula, using the suture limbs as rails. Each corresponding pair of sutures was now retrieved and tied, resulting in stabilization and compression of the lateral edge of the graft beyond the lateral edge of the rotator cuff footprint. An arthroscopic grasper was used to provisionally tension the medial corners of the graft in order to determine the best location to pass the corresponding medial row sutures. One limb of each of the posterior medial and anterior medial sutures was shuttled through the graft using a Spectrum suture hook device (Linvatec CONMED) and PDS II (polydioxanone) suture (Ethicon). Each suture was then tied, creating tension in the Allopatch HD graft, while also compressing the entire rotator cuff footprint [Figure 2]. Additional tendon to graft sutures were passed to provide uniform draping and tension to the entire construct. Following routine closure of the portals with simple sutures, the patient was placed in an abductor pillow immobilizer (Shoulder Abduction Pillow, BREG, Vista, CA, USA).

**Postoperative rehabilitation**

All patients participated in the same postoperative rehabilitation program. The Abduction Pillow was worn full time except for

---

**Figure 1:** Tension band rotator cuff repair: Anchor placed distal to rotator cuff footprint

**Figure 2:** Completed rotator cuff repair with reinforcement Allopatch HD graft (MTF Sports Medicine, Edison, NJ, USA)
hand and elbow range-of-motion, and pendulum exercise 1 month following surgery. After the Abduction Pillow was discontinued, supine passive and active assisted exercises were initiated to restore range of motion at 1 month following surgery. Patients were advised not to try and actively lift the arm when upright until 3 months after surgery. Active supine range of motion exercises were started at 8 weeks following surgery, along with gradual muscle and functional strengthening exercises 12 weeks following surgery. Thereafter, activities were increased gradually as tolerated with a return to heavy manual labor delayed until 6 months following surgery.

Assessment of tendon healing
Anatomic assessment of tendon healing was performed with an MRI utilizing the grading scale described by Sugaya,[49,50] rotator cuff fatty infiltration using the Goutallier classification by Fuchs,[51] and significant clinical atrophy as per the tangent sign described by Zanetti.[52] All sequences were performed on a 1.5 T high-field scanner (Signa; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Gradient-echo axial, proton density and T2-weighted oblique coronal and sagittal, and coronal fat-suppressed imaging of the shoulder was performed utilizing a dedicated shoulder coil. Sagittal T1 series were also obtained.

Two musculoskeletal fellowship trained radiologists, who were aware that the patients had undergone surgery for rotator cuff repair but were blinded to the size and location of the tear that had been repaired, reviewed the images. Postoperative MRIs were performed between 1 and 2 years following surgery, with an average time after surgery of 16.8 months (standard deviation: 1.67).

Statistical analysis
Pre- and post-surgical scores were compared using nonparametric Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests.

RESULTS
MRI results showed that the rotator cuff repair was structurally intact in 85.7% (12) of the 14 patients studied. These 12 patients included 9 Type I, sufficient thickness with homogenously low intensity (9 of 14; 64.2%), 2 Type II, sufficient thickness with partial high intensity (2 of 14; 14.3%), and 1 Type III, insufficient thickness without discontinuity (thinned cuff) (1 of 14; 7%) repairs. Two patients had a Type IV, presence of minor discontinuity, recurrent tear (2 of 14; 14.3%), which were both much smaller than their tears at surgery – less than 1 cm [Figure 3a–d]. There were no Type V, presence of a major discontinuity, recurrent tears. Further examination of the two patients with Type IV discontinuity revealed that one had a recurrent minor defect at the medial musculotendinous junction [Figure 4], while the other had a recurrent minor defect at the posterior aspect of the rotator cuff footprint. Of the 10 patients with large (3–5 cm) tears, 9 (90%) had intact repairs per MRI. Of the three patients with massive (>5 cm) tears, 2 (66.7%) had intact repairs per MRI. Our study population did not have any patient with advanced (Stage 3 or 4) fatty infiltration scores, but there were three patients with a positive tangent sign, consistent with clinically significant atrophy.[53] Two of the three patients with a positive tangent sign had a Sugaya Type IV tear, while the third patient had a Sugaya Type II repaired tendon [Table 2].

Assessment of functional outcome
The Constant-Murley Score increased from a preoperative mean of 49.72 (range 13–74) to a postoperative mean of 81.07 (range 45–92) (P value = 0.009). The Pain Score improved from a preoperative mean of 7.73 to a postoperative mean of 13.57 (P value = 0.008). Scapular plane abduction improved from a preoperative mean of 113.64° to a postoperative mean of 166.43° (P value = 0.010). The strength subset score improved from a preoperative mean of 1.73 kg to a postoperative mean of 7.52 kg (P value = 0.006). Flexilevel Scale of Shoulder Function
Infraspinatus (FI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Tear size at surgery</th>
<th>Followup months</th>
<th>Supraspinatus (Fatty infiltration)</th>
<th>Infraspinatus (FI)</th>
<th>Tangentsign</th>
<th>Sugayatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>19.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Rotator cuff integrity per MRI using the sugaya grading scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Tear size at surgery</th>
<th>Followup months</th>
<th>Supraspinatus (Fatty infiltration)</th>
<th>Infraspinatus (FI)</th>
<th>Tangentsign</th>
<th>Sugayatype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>19.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Flex SF) Score normalized to a 100-point scale improved from a preoperative mean of 53.69 to a postoperative mean of 79.71 (P value = 0.003) [Table 3]. Both the patients with Sugaya Type IV tears, minor discontinuity, had excellent functional outcomes including postoperative strength values of 8.16 and 9.53 kg, respectively. Only one patient had lower strength and functional outcome scores following treatment. The patient with a 2.5 cm revision rotator cuff tear had multiple medical co-morbidities, and despite having a Sugaya Type I healed repair per MRI, had a poor functional outcome.

### Subjective outcome

All study patients completed a questionnaire that asked them to rate their satisfaction and function with their shoulder repair on a scale of 0–100. The mean response to this question was 88.64 (standard deviation 19.37, range 30–100, median 96.5, mode 100).

### Complications

There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications. No patients had neural injury, wound infection, or suture anchor problems. No graft-related complications were seen. We had the opportunity to take biopsies of a previously placed Allopatch HD graft for one of the patients excluded from the present study because of postoperative injury resulting in recurrent tear, and with his full consent, specimens were taken at revision arthroscopy, 4 months following the index procedure, from the edge and central portions of the graft and submitted for detailed histological evaluation. The specimens were prepared with toluidine blue, Masson’s trichrome, and elastin stains, along with hematoxylin and eosin stains. The central portion of the biopsy specimen showed two-third acellular human graft material surrounded by a rim of proliferating fibroblastic tissue. The peripheral biopsy specimen showed orderly fibrotendinous connective tissue with mild neovascularization. There was no evidence of foreign body giant cell reaction or inflammation in both areas [Figure 5a, b].

### DISCUSSION

The process of tendon healing to bone occurs slowly, only achieving approximately one-third the ultimate load to failure of a normal tendon attachment at 12 weeks in a goat model. Rotator cuff repair, reinforced with a graft, may offer the potential benefits of a scaffold for tissue replacement, structural support of the repair during the crucial healing period, and improved healing rates.

Using an arthroscopic single row technique, Meyer et al. recently reported that 88% (15/17) of rotator cuff repairs evaluated by MR arthrography had either a small or large recurrent defect with no patients having a normal appearing footprint. The mean preoperative anterior posterior tear size was 1.73 ± 0.52 cm. Utilizing variable arthroscopic repair techniques based on tendon mobility and quality, but voicing a preference for the suture bridge technique, Kowalsky et al. evaluated the structural integrity results in revision rotator cuff repair with ultrasound and reported that 10 (48%) of the 21 shoulders studied had an intact repair. Seven (70%) of the 10 single-tendon repairs were intact, compared with 3 (27%) of the 11 supraspinatus/infraspinatus repairs (P = 0.05). Using an arthroscopic double-row suture bridge technique, Cho et al. recently analyzed their structural results using MRI and reported complete healing in 7 (100%) of 7 small tears, in 32 (78.0%) of 41 medium tears, in 16 (50.0%) of 32 large tears, and in 3 (42.9%) of 7 massive tears. Using an arthroscopic...
dual row transosseous equivalent technique, Toussaint et al. in a recent multicenter study reviewed their structural results with either CT arthrogram or MR arthrogram and reported that 79% (37 of 47) of the small, 63% (55 of 88) of the large, and 63% (12 of 19) of the massive tears were intact, when grading only “watertight” repairs as intact. Using an arthroscopic double-row rotator cuff repair technique, Tashjian et al. also recently reviewed their structural results with ultrasound evaluation and reported that of 49 repairs, 25 (51%) were healed. Healing rates were 67% in single-tendon tears (16 of 24 shoulders) and 36% in multi-tendon tears (9 of 25 shoulders). They concluded, “… in a large percentage of patients, failure of rotator cuff healing is likely due to patient related or biological factors. Future improvements in rotator cuff repairs will need to focus on biological improvements to aid in tendon healing, given that mechanical improvements may have been maximized.” Duquin et al. performed a systematic analysis of structural integrity, regardless of surgical approach (open or arthroscopic), comparing single-row repairs with double-row repairs and found 476 tears that could be characterized as involving two or more tendons or being greater than 3 cm. The recurrent tear rate was 45% for the single-row methods and 26% for double-row methods. The structural healing rate [85.7% (12/14)] or recurrent tear rate [14.3% (2/14)] in this study compares favorably with the overall healing rates reported in the literature. The mean anterior to posterior tear size in this study was 3.86 ± 0.97 cm (median 4 cm, range 2.5–6 cm).

Although there has been much debate regarding the relationship of functional outcomes to structural outcomes, most studies that evaluate the strength subset of functional outcomes do report better results in patients with intact rotator cuff repairs. Longer-term follow-up of patients with recurrent rotator cuff tears seems to indicate that strength continues to decrease with time and the size of tear continues to increase.

This study found a statistically significant improvement in the postoperative Constant-Murley Score, the Pain Score, the range-of-motion as measured by scapular plane abduction, and the strength score. The functional outcomes for this study were not significantly different for patients with healed tears compared to the ones with recurrent defects. This may be reflective of the relatively small (less than 1 cm) recurrent defects (Sugaya Type IV) for both patients and that the study population did not have any Sugaya Type V tears, showing the presence of a major discontinuity, similar to the prospective study evaluating structural and functional outcomes for dual row rotator cuff repairs, where Sugaya et al. reported that only the patients with Type V defects had significantly inferior functional and strength results. Also, the small population of patients with a recurrent defect and relatively high rate of structural integrity make meaningful statistical evaluation of the effect of confounding variables such as medical comorbid, fatty infiltration, atrophy, and concurrent surgical procedures performed inconclusively.

There are many extracellular matrix scaffold devices available with varying histological, biologic, and mechanical properties, and many factors should be considered when choosing a reinforcement patch, including tissue origin, graft processing, cross-linking, clinical experience, and physical properties. Only a few clinical human studies are available to demonstrate histological behavior, clinical behavior, and outcomes for rotator cuff repair reinforced with an extracellular matrix scaffold, with no single study to date evaluating all three. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate favorable structural healing rates, statistically significant improvement in functional outcomes, and a histological retrieval analysis utilizing an entirely arthroscopic technique for rotator cuff repair reinforced with an acellular human dermal matrix graft (Allopatch HD, MTF Sports Medicine).

Although few data are available on the functional and economic costs associated with failed rotator cuff repairs, rotator cuff repair has been shown to have an efficacy on
In conclusion, this study presents a safe and effective technique that may help improve the healing rates of large, massive, and revision rotator cuff tears with the use of an acellular human dermal allograft. This technique demonstrated favorable structural healing rates and statistically improved functional outcomes in the near term. Based on the favorable healing rates in this retrospective study, a prospective randomized study with a larger pool of patients and longer follow-up is planned for greater validation.
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