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Introduction

Menstrual cycles act as evident indicators of underlying reproductive health. Menstrual dysfunction reveals both infertility and increases future risk of various chronic diseases such as diabetes, breast cancer, cardiovascular diseases. Dysfunctional menstrual cycles can begin from adolescence and persist for many years and throughout reproductive life-span causing physical, psychological, and economical strains on women’s life.

Menstrual dysfunction can be assessed via biochemical assays or menstrual history. While biochemical assays have added advantage of measuring hormonal levels and the potential to estimate the ovulation time, menstrual cycle characteristics are easy to observe, cost-effective and conveniently monitored by women themselves. Menstrual history is inclusive of cycle length, bleeding length, amount of bleeding, and regularity of cycles. Menstrual length has been used as a non-invasive clinical marker of ovarian steroid production. Regularity of cycles is a marker of smooth function of hypothalamus-pituitary-ovarian axis.

Genetic influences have been reported on menstrual characteristics. However, methodological problems such as small samples and inability to distinguish between proportion of genetic and environmental influences cloud the conclusions. Moreover, the
environmental influences have been studied on menstrual patterns.\[15\] The question remains whether menstrual characteristics are under genetic or environmental factors. We investigated genetic and environmental variation of menstrual characteristics among identical and non-identical twins.

**Materials and Methods**

Grant was provided by Royal College of Medicine, Perak; University of Kuala Lumpur. An ethical approval was obtained from National Malaysian Research Registry and Iran Avecina Research Center. Participants were members of 2 national twin registries of Iran and Malaysia. 2 cohorts (born between 1945-1988, \(n = 122\)) and (born between 1951-1993, \(n = 71\)) were taken as volunteers. Homogeneity testing was performed to ensure the ability to collapse data from 2 nations. Twin recruitment and details related to the registries can be found elsewhere.\[16,17\] A standard questionnaire was designed inclusive of socio-demographic characteristics of subjects as well as menstrual history. 3 items concerning similarity in appearance and being mistaken by others were used to determine zygosity.\[18\] Such questionnaires have been shown to give at least 95% agreement with diagnosis based on extensive blood-typing.\[19\] Menstrual history included duration (defined as number of bleed days), interval (defined as from the first day of last menstrual period till the first day of next period in day), and amount of menstruation clarified by the number of soaked pads used per day and categorized as normal or abnormal; where normal defined as having a flow of blood or changing 2 to 3 pads per day during menstruation on average; while abnormal defined as either spotting or excessive bleeding. Amenorrhea was defined as having 0-2 cycle per year, and finally irregular menstrual bleeding was recorded as a qualitative variable where subjects replied, yes or no when asked: “Have you had regular menstrual cycle?” All of the above questions were pertained to events happening during last year.

Statistical analysis: Quantitative variables such as interval and duration of menstrual flow were analyzed using Falconers’ formula (1996) as well as maximum likelihood analysis.\[20\] Falconer’s formula for estimation of heritability (\(h^2\)) is \(h^2 = 2 (r_{MZ} - r_{DZ})\), where \(r\) stand for correlations between twin 1 and 2. Shared environment is estimated by MZ correlation deducted from heritability value \(C = (r_{MZ} - h^2)\). Non- shared environment or \(E^2\) is a reflection of the degree to which identical twins raised together are dissimilar, \(E = (1 - r_{MZ})\).

Use of plots to visualize data is highly recommended in every twin analysis.\[21\] The plot is constructed by first computing the absolute within-pair differences for twin data, \(d_{ik} = |Y_{i1k} - Y_{i2k}|\), where the data consist of \(n1\) pairs \(Y_{ik} = (Y_{i1k}, Y_{i2k}), K = 1, ..., n1\), where \(i\) stands for zygosity. Then, the differences are ranked separately for each zygosity and so called “Cumulative Distribution Function” (CDF); \(F_i(X) = (number\ of\ absolute\ differences\ X)/n_i\) are constructed for each zygosity 1. These 2 functions are then overlaid to form plots. Since our sample size was different for DZ and MZ twins, comparison were made using corresponding percentile values of absolute within-pair differences. Interpretations of the plots are based on the following points.\[21\] If a genetic effect exists, then typically many of the higher percentiles DZ differences would exceed the corresponding MZ differences as the DZ pairs are less similar for the trait. If the traits have no genetic influence; then the DZ differences appear approximately at the same level of corresponding MZ absolute differences.

Moreover, using the plots is beneficial in finding outliers that can distort the results of the twin data analysis and may not be possible to detect on univariate plots of the raw data.

Then, a more comprehensive genetic analysis was conducted via maximum likelihood analysis using MX software. Univariate twin model was applied\[19\] to the variance and covariance matrices derived from MZ and DZ twin data. The full model included additive genetic (A), shared environment (C), and non-shared environmental variances (E). MX compares Model 1 \((Y = a^2+c^2+e^2)\) and model 2 \((Y = a^2+e^2)\) investigating the effect of common environment. Another comparison also took place (Model 1 and 3 where \(Y = a^2+c^2\)) to investigate the role of not-shard environment. Finally, the best fit model was introduced, and the proportion of genetic factor or environmental factor was given in percentage.

Qualitative variables such as amount of menstrual flow, however, were tested for genetic heritability
via probandwise correlation. Contingency tables were constructed for absence or presence of each condition (abnormal bleeding, irregular menstruation, amenorrhea) in both MZ and DZ twin pairs. If probandwise correlation is higher among MZ than that of the DZ, a heritable effect is indicated. This is derived from \( \frac{2d}{2d+b+c} \); where \( d \) is the number of discordant twins, and \( b, c \) is the number of concordant twins for the measured trait.

Genetic modeling for qualitative variables was based on assumption that there is a threshold of liability dividing subjects into 2 categories of affected and unaffected. Correlation in liability among twins was estimated from the frequencies of concordant and discordant pairs (tetrachoric correlation). Structural modeling was then applied to the MZ and DZ twins correlations to provide estimates of the relative genetic and/or environmental factors contribution in determining the trait. The principal models used are similar to that of quantitative variables inclusive of additive component (A) as well as environmental variance inclusive of common or shared environment (C) and unique or non-shared environment (E). For MZ twins, correlations between additive genetic factors between the twin 1 and twin 2 is 1. For DZ twins, these values are 0.5. Correlations are unity between common environmental factors and zero between unique environmental factors.

**Result**

### Quantitative Variables

The mean for menstrual duration was 5.81 ± 1.28 with minimum of 2 days and maximum of 10 days. Mean value for the interval of menstruation was 29.75 ± 9.41 with minimum of 22 and maximum of 40 days.

Falconer’s formula \( h^2 = 2 (r_{MZ} - r_{DZ}) \) showed a small contribution for genetic factors for menstrual duration \( h^2 = 0.17 \). Modern genetic analysis confirmed these result as the heritability was found to be only 2% [Table 1]. The best fitting model for both menstrual interval and duration follows a pattern of environmental effect.

Use of plots to visualize data is highly recommended in every twin analysis.[21] Quantitative variables were examined using CDF plots. Since our groups of MZ and DZ twins were different in sample sizes, comparison was made using corresponding percentile values of absolute within-pair differences. For duration and interval of menstrual cycle [Figures 1 and 2], 2 lines pertaining to MZ and DZ overlapped for a long while before departure from each other for more disparate data, hence the effect of environment.

![Figure 1: Cumulative distribution function plot of menstrual duration (day)](image1)

![Figure 2: Cumulative distribution function plot of menstrual interval (day)](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Univariate twin analysis for reproductive events inclusive of genetic (A), common environment (C) and shared environment (E) effect and best fitting model estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menstrual duration (day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menstrual interval (day)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Qualitative Variables

Probandwise concordance rate for MZ and DZ twins was calculated for 2 measured variables inclusive of irregular menstruation, and abnormal bleeding. The MZ:DZ ratio was 1.00 for irregular menstruation and 0.692 for abnormal bleeding. This ratio should be higher than 1 to suggest genetic influence. In a twin sample, correlation in liability among twins can be estimated from the frequencies of concordant and discordant pairs, and it is called tetrachoric correlation. The assumption behind this correlation is that the underlying liability is following a normal distribution or can be transformed to one. Tetrachoric correlations for MZ and DZ for both the above-mentioned variables were below 1 as shown in Table 2.

Structural equation modeling was then applied to the MZ and DZ twins correlations to provide estimates of the relative genetic and/or environmental factors contribution in determining the trait. For each phenotype, there are genetic variances inclusive of additive component (A) and a dominant component (D) as well as environmental variance inclusive of common or shared environment (C) and unique or non-shared environment (E). Table 3 shows the best fitting model for 2 qualitative variables to be under environmental factors.

Discussion

The impact of women’s menstrual cycle on her quality of life, health, work, and community is substantial. Menstrual disturbance is linked with general ill conditions such as migraine, asthma, and endocrinopathies such as hypothyroidism, asthma immune system. In other words, a woman’s menstrual pattern is an important indicator of her health.

Characteristics of menstrual cycle are known by its length, duration, amount, and regularity. Length is defined as the beginning of menstrual flow of each month to the first day of menstrual flow of the next month. Length of menstrual cycle ranges between 21 to 41 days, and anything above or below this range is considered abnormal. A study found that the mean total length of menstrual cycle for 141 healthy women was 28.9 days (SD = 3.4) with 95% of the cycles between 22 and 36 days. According to this study, menstrual length less than 21 days is called polymenorrhea and above 42 days is entitled as oligomenorrhea.

Duration is known as the period of menstrual flow. The average menstrual duration is known to be about 7 days, and the range could be between 3 - 10 days.

Predictive value of menstrual cycle pattern is important when counseling women with irregular menstrual cycles or oligomenorrhea. Subjects with endocrine disturbance may be at risk of developing ovarian dysfunction and other endocrinopathies such as polycystic ovary syndrome or already are suffering from it.

Many environmental factors may affect characteristics of menstrual cycle including workplace, caffeine consumption, smoking, occupation, physical activity, diet, age, weight, exposure to organic solvent, medical conditions, and lifestyle factors. Intra-uterine effect of diethylstilbestrol on menstrual length is also reported. Our study result is suggesting that environmental factors, unique to the individual, play a major role in determining the characteristics of menstrual cycle.

Table 2: Probandwise concordance rate of MZ and DZ twins and its ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Probandwise concordance rate for MZ twins</th>
<th>Probandwise concordance rate for DZ twins</th>
<th>MZ:DZ Ratio</th>
<th>Tetrachoric correlation ( r_{MZ} )</th>
<th>Tetrachoric correlation ( r_{DZ} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irregular menstruation</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal menstrual amount</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Modeling results for the concordance data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Best fit model</th>
<th>Estimate for best model</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>AIC*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irregular menstruation</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>C73%, E27%</td>
<td>3.030</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>-4.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal menstrual amount</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>C48%, E52%</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>-6.488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^* \text{AIC stands for Akaike's Information Criterion} \quad \text{Grant: This study was financially supported by UniKL and Avesina Research Center} \)
flow inclusive of duration and interval. The heritability value for these 2 characteristics were less than 0.1% for interval and were about 2% for duration while model fitting analysis suggested 95% of non-shared environmental effect for interval and 67% of shared environmental effect for duration. Moreover, CDF plot of these 2 variables show overlap of lines for difference percentile of DZ and MZ twins. Other menstrual characteristics studied were inclusive of irregular menstruation and abnormal menstrual amount, both of which were found to be under environmental effect. Probandwise concordance rate (MZ: DZ) for these variables were less than 2, and the best fitting model was CE.

Our result was different than others. Menstrual and premenstrual symptoms have been studied in an American cross-sectional genetic design, and the heritability estimate of 0.34, 0.41, and 0.40 has been reported for flow, pain, and menstrual limitation, respectively.[18] The corresponding numbers for these variables in an older Australian study of female twins were 22, 38, and 36%, respectively.[10] The first longitudinal study about menstrual disorders on twins was done by Treloar[13] on 728 pairs (466 MZ and 262 DZ). Genetic factors accounted for 39% of the longitudinally stable variation in menstrual flow, 55% for pain, and 77% for limitation. The remaining stable variance was pertained to non-shared environmental factors (61, 45, and 23%, respectively). The author concludes that during 8 years of follow-up, the stable variance was largely environmentally influenced for menstrual flow, was partly determined by genetic and partly by non-shared environmental factors in the case of pain, and was due almost entirely to genetic influences for limitation by periods. These result showed that the same genetic influences are operating throughout the reproductive life span.
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