Prevalence of simian, Suwon, and Sydney creases in acquired idiopathic blindness in some selected schools for the blind in Nigeria

Abstract

Background: The study of palmar flexion creases such as simian, Suwon, and Sydney creases is important since they have strong medical implications. Aim: This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of simian, Suwon, and Sydney creases in acquired idiopathic blindness. Materials and Methods: A total of 72 (36 blind and 36 nonblind) participants were recruited for the study that comprised 22 blind male and 14 blind female participants, 18 male and 18 female nonblind participants. The sampling technique used was convenience purposive sampling. Fingerprints and toeprints were obtained using print. Results: The prevalence of palmar creases in blind and nonblind male and female participants showed that the blind participants had 0% prevalence of simian crease, 3.8% prevalence of Suwon, and 0.6% prevalence of Sydney crease, whereas the nonblind participants have 0% prevalence of the creases. In the female blind participants, there was 0% prevalence of simian crease, 1.2% prevalence of Suwon, and 0.6% prevalence of Sydney crease, whereas the nonblind participants have 0% prevalence of the creases. The total prevalence of palmar creases of the blind and nonblind participants was shown, and the simian crease had 0.0% prevalence in the blind and in the nonblind participants. Suwon crease had 2.8% prevalence in the blind and 0.8% in the nonblind participants. Sydney crease had 0.6% prevalence in the blind, but 0.0% prevalence in the nonblind participants. Comparison of the prevalence of the Suwon and Sydney creases in the blind and nonblind was shown to be statistically nonsignificant (P > 0.05), whereas it was significant for simian crease on comparison with the blind and nonblind (P < 0.05). Conclusion: The study has shown that there is no significant difference in the prevalence of Suwon and Sydney crease (P < 0.05), whereas there is a significant difference in the simian crease between the blind and nonblind compared. This study has provided information on the prevalence of palmar creases in people with idiopathic blindness and the nonblind people in Nigeria and by extension the Sub-Saharan Africa.
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INTRODUCTION

There are lines in the palm known as creases or palmar creases.[1] The most common variations can be described with three simple mathematical rules, PIC Model. The PIC model describes 21 types for the primary palmar lines (12 basic types with 5 types that can manifest in
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The two most important lines are simian and Sydney lines. Simian Crease: usually, the human palm of the hand is featured with three strong major lines; in medical science, these are often referred to as palmar flexion creases. The lines involved are known as life line (radial longitudinal crease), head line (proximal transverse crease), and heart line (distal transverse crease). An important variant is found when both lines are “replaced” by one single line which extends the full palm, this single line is referred to as simian line or “simian crease”. It is seen to be a merger between the “heart line” and the “head line.” It is considered that the prevalence of simian lines in general populations is usually 3%–5%. The simian line became well known considering its significance in Down’s syndrome, but with advances in research, publications have linked simian lines with several syndromes and diseases. Suwon Crease (Line): this comprised a long heart line that crosses the entire palm appearing to join a head line at the radial edge and a second head line present. This line is considered by some writers as a “cousin” of the Sydney line. It is called Suwon Crease because the researchers who found it named it after their location in Suwon, Korea. It is said that the Korean researchers found it in 0.5% of 5196 hands. More commonly found in the left hand of males with 0.0% of females were found to have it in the Korean study. The Suwon line has the least diagnostic indication of all the creases. Sydney Line (crease) in 1967, Vrydagh-Laoucreux mentioned that there is another line aside from the simian line. He called it an extended proximal palmar crease. This very line is known today as Sydney line. A line is said to be “Sydney line” when the proximal transverse crease extends beyond the midline axis of the fifth finger toward the ulna border of the palm. The Sydney line goes by its name because it was first discovered in Sydney. The presence of Sydney line is indicative of certain health conditions as in Alzheimer’s disease or disability using convenience purposive sampling. They freely gave their consent for the study by signing the informed consent letter. The nonblind participants were recruited from the same locality with the blind participants and had no known form of disease or disability using convenience purposive sampling. The sampling technique used was convenience purposive sampling.

**Ethical clearance**

Ethical clearance was sort from the Ethics Committee of the University of Port Harcourt.

**Data collection**

Hands were cleaned from dirt before taking prints, and a little pressure was put to press the palm on the scanner for adequate contact between the fingers and the scanner to have a clear image of the print and the prints were taken twice. The palm prints and toeprints were obtained using print scanner (Hp G3110 Photo Scanner). The prints were observed and analyzed using palmar crease analysis model to see if there was simian, Suwon, or Sydney crease present in the palm. The toeprints were classified into arch, whorl, or loop patterns and counted.
Data analysis
Data analysis was done using (IBM) Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 22, and z-test of proportionality used to compare the prevalence of the creases using the distribution of the creases.

RESULTS
The results of this study are as presented in the tables below. Table 1 shows the prevalence of palmar creases in blind and nonblind male and female participants showed that the blind participants had 0% prevalence of simian crease, 3.8% prevalence of Suwon, and 0.6% prevalence of Sydney crease, whereas the nonblind participants have 0% prevalence of the creases. In the female blind participants, there was 0% prevalence of simian crease, 1.2% prevalence of Suwon, and 0.6% prevalence of Sydney crease, whereas the nonblind participants have 0% prevalence of the creases. The total prevalence of palmar creases of the blind and nonblind participants was shown, and the simian crease had 0.0% prevalence in the blind and in the nonblind participants. Suwon crease had 2.7% prevalence in the blind and 1.5% in the nonblind participants. Sydney crease had 0.6% prevalence in the blind, but 0% prevalence in the nonblind participants.

Table 2 shows FI for the blind males was 63.55 and nonblind males had 32.88, whereas the blind females had 75.73 and the nonblind females had 34.18.

DISCUSSION
The prevalence of palmar creases in blind and nonblind male and female participants showed that there was the prevalence of Suwon crease in the blind males which was higher for the blind males than the nonblind males and also higher for the blind males than in the females. The prevalence of Sydney creases in the blind females but zero prevalence in the nonblind females. In the total prevalence of palmar creases of the blind and nonblind participants shown, the Suwon crease was the most prevalent palmar crease in the blind and nonblind followed by the Sydney

Table 1: The prevalence of palmar creases (simian, Suwon, and Sydney) in both blind and nonblind participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Simian crease percentage</th>
<th>Suwon crease percentage</th>
<th>Sydney crease percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male palmar creases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind (n=22)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonblind (n=18)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female palmar creases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind (n=14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonblind (n=18)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind (n=36)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonblind (n=36)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05

Figure 1: The sydney crease

Figure 2: The suwon crease
crease in the blind participants. The blind participants had a higher prevalence of Suwon crease than the nonblind participants. It was observed that the blind subjects had a higher prevalence of Suwon crease which was not the same with the non-blind subjects, the Sydney crease had very little prevalence in the blind and zero prevalence in the non-blind subjects. Sentence reviewed, this difference could be attributed to a genetic interplay in the blind encouraging a higher prevalence. Comparing the prevalence of simian crease in this study with that of Oyinbo and Fawehinmi showed that the total prevalence obtained in their study was high, whereas in this present study, the prevalence of simian crease was zero. The prevalence of Sydney crease in their study was lesser than the prevalence obtained in this very study.

In FI, the females had higher values than the males consistently for the blind and nonblind participants, hence, indicating sexual dimorphism. This difference between the males and females was attributed to hormonal difference. This means that there was relatively higher frequency of whorls in the females than the males. In addition, on the average, FI was higher in the blind than in the nonblind participants which again points to the fact that there were more whorls present in blind than the nonblind which is attributed to genetic interplay in the blind participants.

CONCLUSION

The study has shown that there is no significant difference in the prevalence of Suwon and Sydney crease ($P > 0.05$), whereas there is a significant difference in the simian crease between the blind and nonblind compared. This study has provided information on the prevalence of palmar creases in people with idiopathic blindness and the nonblind people in Nigeria and by extension the Sub-Saharan Africa.
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