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Abstract
With the help of computational results of incompressible flow past a circular cylinder at Reynolds numbers of \( Re = 150 \) and \( 1000 \), we explain two possible mechanisms for the experimentally observed drag reduction by rotary oscillation. Here, detailed computed results are compared with available experimental and computational results in Thiria et al. (J Fluid Mech 2006; 560:123–147) for \( Re = 150 \). The time-varying loads and moments for various cases have been analyzed first to study bluff body flow control at low Reynolds numbers. We specifically focus upon the effects of amplitude and frequency of the rotary oscillation. Furthermore, to study the effects of Reynolds number, we report another case for a higher Reynolds number of \( Re = 1000 \). Proper orthogonal decomposition of computational data for these two \( Re \) cases have been performed to explain physical mechanisms behind drag reduction by rotary oscillation and reduced order modeling for different parameter combinations. We show that the drag reduction at the lower Reynolds number (\( Re = 150 \)) is related to organization of the larger shed vortices in the wake with the presence or absence of subharmonics. At the higher Reynolds number (\( Re = 1000 \)), this is achieved by breaking the larger vortices into smaller ones by imposed surface motion that we term as aerodynamic tripping. This is related to the bypass transition-triggered by unsteady separation of the kind discussed in literature.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Bluff-body flow control by rotary oscillation to alter wake geometry and vortex shedding pattern is a problem of significant practical and research interest. Studies of flow over an oscillating circular cylinder have focused earlier on either in-line or transverse rectilinear oscillations, as reviewed by Bearman [1], Berger & Willie [2], Griffin & Hall [3], Sumer & Fredsøe [4] and in Williamson & Govardhan [5]. These studies are considered important, as such oscillations occur for nominally stationary cylinder in uniform flow due to elastic deflections of the cylinder. At the same time, there also exist significant interest to control flow past bluff bodies to reduce drag and unsteadiness by imposed oscillation. Flow past a steadily rotating circular cylinders reported in Badr et al. [6], Chang & Chern [7], Chen et al. [8], Sengupta et al. [9] and other references show drag reduction. At higher rotation rates and moderate Reynolds numbers, vortex shedding was shown to be suppressed in Diaz et al. [10] by steadily rotating the circular cylinder. Pure rotation does not work for other bluff bodies and this has motivated researchers to investigate flow control by other means- one such method is shown schematically in Fig. 1 by rotary oscillation of the cylinder. The qualitative difference between steady and oscillatory rotation strategies reveal that in case of former, the controlled flow approaches the inviscid limit (see however the discussion in Sengupta et al. [9] on the final equilibrium flow decided by viscous instability). For rotary oscillation, laminar flow over the cylinder is aerodynamically tripped by unsteady separation- as discussed in Sengupta et al. [11] and Sengupta & Kumar [12]. Thus, rotary oscillation causes vigorous drag crisis at lower Reynolds numbers as compared to uncontrolled cases where it occurs naturally at higher Reynolds numbers or at relatively lower \( Re \) caused by surface roughness. Rotary oscillation of a geometrically smooth cylinder causing drag reduction at lower Reynolds numbers is the subject of present study.
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Flow control by rotary oscillation for circular cylinder is governed by three major parameters. Here we follow the convention of referring dimensional quantities with asterisk and non-dimensional quantities without it. The first non-dimensional parameter is the Reynolds number, defined as

$$Re = \frac{U^* \infty d^*}{\nu^*}$$

where $U^* \infty$ is translational speed of the cylinder of diameter $d^*$ and $\nu^*$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. If $f^*$ is the forcing frequency, then the second important non-dimensional parameter Strouhal number ($Sf^*$) and the non-dimensional time scale ($t^*$) are obtained by

$$Sf^* = \frac{f^* d^*}{U^* \infty}$$

and

$$t^* = \frac{t^* U^* \infty}{d^*}.$$  

The maximum non-dimensional angular excursion $\theta_0^*$ is related to the maximum rotation rate $\Omega_1^*$ as $\Omega_1^* = \frac{2 \pi f^* \theta_0}{\sqrt{Sf^*}}.$ From the above parameters instantaneous rotation rate $\Omega^*$ is given by,

$$\Omega^* = \Omega_1^* \sin (2Sf^* t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Non-dimensional surface speed $A$ is the third important parameter and can be written as $A = \frac{\Omega_1^* d^*}{2U^* \infty}. $ Here, all the equations have been solved in non-dimensional form with $d^*$ as the length scale and $U^* \infty$ as the velocity scale and the non-dimensional maximum rotation rate is given by $\Omega_1^* = 2A.$ Pressure is non-dimensionalized by $\rho^* U^* \infty^2$ in the formulation. We non-dimensionalize $f^*$ by the natural frequency ($\omega_0^*$) of the unforced case at $Re = 150$ and the corresponding non-dimensional parameter is referred as $f^* \omega_0^*$ in the present manuscript. We have reported results with $f^* \omega_0^*$ and used $Sf^*$ only to relate it with notations used in Dennis et al. [13] and some other references.

In Taneda [14], flow visualization results for $30 \leq Re \leq 300$ have shown the qualitative alteration of vortex shedding behind circular cylinder by rotary oscillation. It was noted that for $Re = 40$ and $11.5 \pi < S_f < 27 \pi$, vortex shedding was completely eliminated. In Okajima et al. [15], forces acting on cylinder for $40 \leq Re \leq 160$ and $3050 \leq Re \leq 6100$ were measured for $0.2 \leq \Omega_1 \leq 1.0$ and $0.025\pi \leq S_f \leq 0.15\pi.$ Similar results were reported in Wu et al. [16] for $Re = 300$ and 500. In Tokumaru & Dimotakis [17], experimental finding of drag reduction by more than 80% was reported for $Re = 15,000.$ Drag was calculated from the wake survey of mean velocity profile, not considering the contribution from fluctuating velocity components. An alteration of Karman vortex shedding by rotary oscillation in the range $250 \leq Re \leq 1200$ was reported in Filler et al.[18], with peripheral speed between 0.5% and 3% of $U^* \infty.$ Thiria et al. [19] have provided a very detailed set of results for this flow field at $Re = 150$ over a wide range of $A$ and $f^*.$
There are only some numerical results in the literature for higher Reynolds numbers. Navier-Stokes equation was solved in Lu & Sato [20] for the parameters: \( Re = 200, 1000, 3000; 0.1 \leq \Omega \leq 3.0 \) and \( 0.5 \pi \leq S_f \leq 4 \pi \). Back & Sung [21] reported results for \( Re = 110 \) at very low peak rotation rates and Strouhal numbers. Dennis et al. [13] used stream function-vorticity formulation to solve Navier-Stokes equation by a spectral-finite difference method for \( Re = 500 \) and 1000. Major observations [13] relate to the presence of co-rotating vortex pairs and a time variation of drag coefficient that switches frequency abruptly at a discrete time for \( Re = 500, \Omega_1 = 1 \) and \( S_f = \pi/2 \). The authors also reported strong dependence of the flow on \( Re \), as opposed to the earlier observation of Lu & Sato [20]. There are other low to moderate Reynolds number simulations of the Navier-Stokes equation reported in Cheng et al. [22] and Choi et al. [23]. Flow control and optimization studies were undertaken in Protas & Styczek [24] and He et al. [25]. In Sengupta et al. [11] a case of rotary oscillation was studied for \( Re = 15,000 \) to find out a real-time strategy to control flow past a cylinder using genetic algorithm. The same numerical method is used in the present investigation. The main motivation in the present study is to understand the physical mechanisms those lead to drag reduction for the flow at low to moderate Reynolds numbers. In Sengupta & Kumar [12], this flow was studied for \( Re = 1000 \), with the control imposed by simultaneous steady and rotary oscillation of the cylinder. Proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) of the data revealed that a single vortical structure in the base region for the uncontrolled case weakens by rotary oscillation leaving a weaker vortex street. With control, importance of multiple modes reduces as the leading mode accounts for 98% for the case of \( \Omega_1 = 1 \), as compared to the leading mode of the uncontrolled case (\( \Omega_1 = 0 \)) that accounts for only 40% of the total enstrophy.

Shiels & Leonard [26] studied the two-dimensional flow for \( Re = 15,000 \) using a high resolution viscous vortex method to understand the physical mechanism behind drag reduction. The results were produced up to a distance of \( 5d \) from the cylinder, for small computational times without computing the loads on the cylinder. Also, results did not show convergence with number of vortices used to simulate the flow. However, the authors noted multi-pole vorticity structures for particular cases of rotary oscillation, revealing bursting phenomenon in the boundary layer. Similar phenomenon of vortical eruption in shear layers have been reported in Sengupta et al. [27], Lim et al. [28] and have been termed as bypass transition. This bypass transition was attributed for large drag reduction - a phenomenon not present at low Reynolds number simulations. The present investigation also supports this observation that the drag reduction at low and moderate Reynolds numbers occur due to different physical mechanisms. Protas & Wesfreid [29] in solving the flow at \( Re = 150 \), concluded that the flow modification is due to mean flow alteration by the action of the divergence of Reynolds stress tensor that arises due to forcing. This can be construed as the modification at the zeroth mode of the nonlinear effects for the instability of the wake. Thiria et al. [19] concluded that the phase lag between the vortex shedding and the imposed rotary motion can contribute constructively to decrease fluctuations in the wake to cause net drag reduction.

Observed cases for \( Re = 150 \) in Thiria et al. [19] can be simulated by solving Navier-Stokes equation and detailed results would help understand the physical mechanisms for drag reduction by rotary oscillation at low Reynolds numbers. We have solved here the Navier-Stokes equation using the high-accuracy methods used in Sengupta et al. [30] and Dipankar et al. [31]. We also report results for \( Re = 1000 \) to investigate how the drag reduction mechanism alters with Reynolds number.

Williamson [32] noted that the flow past stationary cylinder remains two-dimensional for \( Re < 178 \). Dimensionality of the flow past a cylinder is often related to the shedding mode of vortices in the wake. A flow displaying oblique shedding is definitely three-dimensional, while the flow displaying parallel shedding can be two-dimensional at lower Reynolds number. Khalak & Williamson [33] have experimentally demonstrated that the mean drag of parallel and oblique shedding cases differ by nearly 15 to 20%, with the former showing higher values up to moderate Reynolds number. It is interesting to note that the experimental unsteady lift-force data compiled in Khalak & Williamson [33] from previous studies revealed a scatter of the order of 400%. The results in Poncet [34], [35] provide data for flow past a cylinder executing rotary oscillation that suggest the coherent forcing along the full-span precludes spanwise variation of flow in that direction. Three-dimensional effects arise due to flow instability and/ or end effects. This is also supported in Fig. 2 of Thiria et al. [19] showing flow visualization picture without any significant spanwise variation of the flow. Tokumaru & Dimotakis [17] also noted that the wake structure is strongly controlled by the strength and spacing of the shed vortices from the oscillating cylinder. These provide impetus to study flow past cylinder at higher
Reynolds numbers with a two-dimensional flow model when the flow is controlled by rotary oscillation. Note that the simulations in Shiels & Leonard [26] and Sengupta et al. [11] were obtained using two-dimensional flow models for $Re = 15,000$. Present study is restricted up to $Re = 1000$, as in Choi et al. [23]. The agreement between actual flow field and two-dimensional simulation is due to the fact that bluff-body flows admit other routes of energy redistribution among different scales, other than the energy cascade by vortex stretching - a mechanism present only for three-dimensional flows. Here we study the bypass transition route, discussed earlier for two-dimensional flows in Sengupta et al. [27], Lim et al. [28], Shiels & Leonard [26]. Shiels & Leonard [26] reported boundary layer bursting leading to multi-pole vorticity structure responsible for drag reduction. Such bursting phenomenon were also noted in Sengupta et al. [27] for vortex-induced instability problems. With rotary oscillation, the flow is dominated by the two-dimensional motion in the near-vicinity of the cylinder and a two-dimensional assumption is adequate for this flow as compared to the case of flow past a stationary cylinder.
2 GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND NUMERICAL METHOD
The two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in stream function-vorticity formulation are given in non-dimensional form as,

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = -\omega, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla \omega = \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \omega \quad (3)$$

Where $\omega$ is the out of plane component of vorticity and the velocity is related to the stream function by $V = \nabla \times \Psi$, where $\Psi = (0, 0, \Psi)$. The $(\Psi - \omega)$ formulation is preferred here due to its accuracy and efficiency in satisfying mass conservation exactly everywhere. The flow is computed in the transformed orthogonal grid ($\xi - \eta$) plane. The transformed coordinate $\xi$ is the scaled azimuthal co-ordinate, while $\eta$ is the transformed, scaled radial co-ordinate. Additional details of the formulation used here can be found in Dipankar et al. [31].

The pressure field is obtained numerically by solving the governing pressure Poisson equation (PPE) for the total pressure $p_t$, given in the orthogonal coordinate system by,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( h_1 \frac{\partial p_t}{\partial \xi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( h_2 \frac{\partial p_t}{\partial \eta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (h_1 \nu \omega) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (h_2 \mu \omega) \quad (4)$$

Where $h_1$ and $h_2$ are the scale factors of the transformation given by,

$$h_1 = (x_i^2 + y_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } h_2 = (x_i^2 + y_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The no-slip boundary condition on the cylinder wall is satisfied by

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta_{body}} = h_2 r_b \Omega \quad (5)$$

where $\Omega$ is the non-dimensional value of the rotary oscillation defined in equation (1). Additional condition arising out of no-slip condition is given by

$$\Psi = \text{constant}. \quad (6)$$

This condition is used to solve the Poisson equation for the stream function equation (2), while both the equations (5) and (6) are used to evaluate the wall vorticity $\omega_b$ that provides the boundary conditions for the vorticity transport equation (VTE) given by equation (3).

At the outer boundary, uniform flow condition is applied for the inflow (Dirichlet condition on $\psi$) and convective boundary condition on radial velocity at the outflow is applied, as shown in Fig. 1. The radiative condition or the Sommerfeld boundary condition applied (in the sector QR) at the outflow is as given in Esposito et al. [36], Orlanski [37] and given by,

$$\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + u_c(t) \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} = 0, \quad (7)$$

Where $u_r$ is the radial component of velocity and $u_c(t)$ is the convection velocity at the outflow at time $t$, which is obtained from the radial component of velocity at the previous time step, i.e., $u_c(t) = u_c(t - \Delta t)$. It is not very important where Q and R in Fig. 1 are located in fixing the outflow, but adequate care is exercised to prevent numerical problem arising out of the mismatch between the Dirichlet condition in the inflow and the radiative condition at the outflow.

The initial condition is given by an impulsive start of the cylinder in a fluid at rest. The vorticity on the outer boundary is obtained by using the kinematic definition of vorticity, as given in equation (2). Poisson equations are solved using the Bi-CGSTAB variant of the conjugate gradient method of Van
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der Vorst [38]. The Bi-CGSTAB method is made to converge faster by using ILUT pre-conditioners as described in Saad [39]. To solve the PPE, the required Neumann boundary condition on the physical surface and in the far-field are obtained from the normal (η) momentum equation given by,

$$\frac{h_i}{h_i} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} = -h_i u \alpha + \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \xi} - \frac{h_i}{h_i} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} \quad (8)$$

The convection terms in (3) and (8) are evaluated using compact scheme, those are implicitly evaluated and provide a spectral-like accuracy. Use of compact schemes allow the solution of the Navier-Stokes equation for the rotary oscillation case which were otherwise not possible for moderate Reynolds number flow by lower accuracy methods. The particular compact scheme used here is compared with other discretization methods in Sengupta et al. [30],[40].

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Here, attention is focused only on flow past a circular cylinder executing rotary oscillation for Re = 150 and 1000. The instantaneous vorticity contours shown in Fig. 1, correspond to the case of A = 2 and f0/ff = 3 for Re = 150. First, we discuss about the numerical method and its validation with respect to available experimental and other computational results for similar flows.

The numerical methods used here are those described in Sengupta et al. [11], Dipankar et al. [31]. We only highlight the properties of the high accuracy compact scheme, OUCS3 used to discretize convection terms of the VTE. If a variable is defined by its Laplace transform, We only highlight the properties of the high accuracy compact scheme, OUCS3 used to discretize convection terms of the VTE. If a variable is defined by its Laplace transform,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i k \psi(k, t) e^{ikx} dk$$

then its exact spatial derivative is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}_{\text{num}} = \int i k u(k, t) e^{ikx} dk.$$ When this derivative is obtained numerically, it can be written equivalently as

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{\text{ex}} = \int i k U(k, t) e^{ikx} dk.$$ In Fig. 2(a), the present method is compared with the second order accurate central difference scheme (CD2), showing keq/k is a measure of scale resolution, is plotted against kh, with h representing the uniform grid spacing used. Ideally, keq/k should be equal to one, but all discrete methods filter length scales by different amount as shown in this figure. The CD2 scheme can compute the first derivative accurately for a very small range of kh, as compared to the OUCS3 method that resolves the derivative up to kh = 2.3- an order of magnitude improvement over CD2 method.

Apart from the scale resolution property, one must also check for the numerical stability and dispersion property for the direct simulation of space-time dependent problems. The numerical stability is defined by the numerical amplification factor $G(k, \Delta t) = U(k, t + \Delta t)/U(k, t)$ that should also ideally be equal to one, for $\Delta t \to 0$. A parameter that indicates the time step, is the Courant-Friedrich Lewy (CFL) number $N_c = c \Delta t/\Delta x$, with c a convection speed at which the phase of the variable changes. In Fig. 2(b), the G contours are shown plotted in (kh – Nc)-plane for the solution of one-dimensional convection equation. The G-contour has the desirable neutral stability retained across the resolved length scales for a small range of $N_c$ values, shown by the hatched region in the figure. The other important property of any numerical method relates to preserving the actual physical dispersion relation. This is the dispersion relation preservation (DRP) property [30], given by the numerical group velocity ($V_{\text{eq}/c}$) of the method. For the one dimensional convection equation, this is displayed by $V_{\text{eq}/c}$, plotted in the (kh – Nc)-plane in Fig. 2(c). Here, the OUCS3 method retains the DRP property effectively for low values of $N_c$ as compared to CD2 method. In Fig. 2(c), we are restricted to keep kh below 2.4, otherwise the computed vortical structures go in the opposite direction. For CD2 method, such a limit is given by kh = 1.578.

All computations are performed using an orthogonal grid of size (150 × 450), with 150 points in the azimuthal direction and 450 points in the radial direction. Points in the azimuthal direction are spaced at equal angular intervals. In the radial direction, points are distributed non-uniformly [11], with the first point at a distance 0.001d from the surface of the cylinder and the outer boundary is located at 20d from the centre of the cylinder. Solutions of (3) and (4) provide the vorticity and total pressure, respectively in the flow field. The surface pressure is readily obtained from the total pressure to calculate the drag acting on the cylinder at any instant by performing the following contour integral over the surface of the cylinder,
Where \( p \) is the surface pressure and \( \tau_{ix} \) is the viscous stress on the surface of the cylinder with \( \eta_i \) as the unit normal vector in the \( i^{th} \) direction. In this paper, we refer the instantaneous drag coefficient by \( C_d' \), whereas its time-averaged value is indicated by \( C_D \). In reporting the time averages, we have taken the time-series over a large integral number of cycles of the variables, after the transient effects have died down. Similarly, one obtains the lift and the pitching moment coefficients.

We provide validation of the method with respect to the results given in Henderson [41]. Flow over a stationary cylinder has been obtained to check \( C_D \) for \( Re = 150 \) and 1000. Fig. 3(a) shows a comparison of numerically obtained \( C_D \) with available experimental and computational results given in Henderson [41]. Our computed data are shown by solid square symbols for \( Re = 150 \) and 1000 and the solid line represents the computed results by Henderson solving Navier-Stokes equation. The data at \( Re = 1000 \) with a ‘+’ symbol represents the three-dimensional simulation results of Henderson [41], while the rest of the discrete data are experimentally obtained. At \( Re = 150 \), very good match is obtained for our results with the experimental value and the computed results by Henderson [41]. For \( Re = 1000 \), our computed \( C_D \) is closer to the three-dimensional computations of Henderson [41], but both of them are different from the experimental value, as expected due to the three-dimensional nature of the flow in the experiments. We have already noted that with rotary oscillation of the cylinder, the flow will display two-dimensionality at higher Reynolds numbers, as compared to stationary cylinder case.

Further validation of the present method for rotary oscillation case is shown by comparing with experimental results in Thiria et al. [19] at \( Re = 150 \) for different \( A \) and \( f_f/f_0 \) values. The non-dimensional amplitude \( A \) and frequency ratio \( f_f/f_0 \) in Thiria et al. [19] are related to \( \Omega_1 \) and \( S_f \) as \( \Omega_1 = 2A \) and \( S_f = 0.5131263 f_f/f_0 \). For validation, apart from checking \( C_D \) variation with \( A \), it is important to ensure that each and every resolved scales propagate their energy at the correct speed— the physical group velocity. For this reason, we have compared the numerical and physical group velocity in Fig. 2(b). Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) show comparison between numerically and experimentally obtained vortical structures from Thiria et al., [19] over half the time period of rotary oscillation for the cases of \( A = 2; f_f/f_0 = 1.5 \) and \( A = 2; f_f/f_0 = 3.0 \) for \( Re = 150 \). In Thiria et al. [19], the flow field has been visualized using laser induced fluorescence technique, with the fluorescein dye injected on both side of the centerline of the tunnel upstream of the cylinder. The dye was illuminated by an argon ion laser and if the non-conservative source strength of fluorescein is negligible then the tracer material follows the flow. The dye would be trapped in the flow where it stagnates due to low convection speed and would reveal the vortices in the
Fig. 3. (b) Comparison of computational vorticity contours and experimental flow field (Thiria et al., [19]) around a cylinder executing rotary oscillation for a case of $Re = 150$, $A = 2$ and $f/f_0 = 1.5$ in equation (1) defining the rotary oscillation. (c) Comparison of computational vorticity contours and experimental flow field (Thiria et al., [19]) around a cylinder executing rotary oscillation for a case of $Re = 150$, $A = 2$ and $f/f_0 = 3.0$ in equation (1) defining the rotary oscillation.

The wake clearly. This is the reason that the computed vorticity contours match with the visualization of the experiment.

To capture the vortical structures more accurately, the computations for Fig. 3(b) used a $(250 \times 600)$ grid with a time step of $\Delta t = 4 \times 10^{-5}$. One can clearly observe different length scales of the experiment completely resolved in the computation. Growth and movement of the vortical structures towards the
outflow in computations are exactly identical to that seen in the flow visualization picture. For the computed results shown in Fig. 3(c), we have used a further refined (400 $\times$ 500) grid with the time step of $\Delta t = 4 \times 10^{-5}$ to establish grid independence of the computed solutions. In this case, the rotational frequency of the cylinder is doubled with respect to the previous case and one can see two parallel streets of vortices, completely resolved in the simulation.

Quantitative comparison and validation is provided in Fig. 3(d) showing variation of $C_{D/CD_0}$ with $f_f/f_0$ at the constant amplitudes of $A = 2$ and 5. $C_{D_0}$ is the time-averaged drag coefficient for the unforced case at $Re = 150$. The computed results match excellently with the experimental results from Thiria et al. [19]. However, for $f_f$ lower than $f_0$, the numerical results deviate slightly from the experimental values. For $A = 5$ the match between the experimental and computational drag values for all $f_f/f_0$.

3.1 Effect of Forcing Frequency on Flow Control

It has been noted in [19] that the vorticity dynamics changes significantly for the cases with $f_f/f_0 > 1$ from the cases for $f_f/f_0 < 1$, for lower values of $A$. Here also, the same trend is captured for $A = 2$ case. To ascertain the same, in Fig. 4 results for $A = 0.5$ are shown for $Re = 150$ and 1000. The features of results for $Re = 1000$ are different from $Re = 150$ case- indicating a strong dependence on Reynolds number for low values of $A$. This has also been shown in Choi et al. [23], who reported results for $Re = 100$ and 1000. The minimum drag for $Re = 150$ occurs over a large range of frequency ratio, exhibiting very small drag reduction. As also noted [19], there occurs a drag maximum at lower frequency ratio that increases with $A$. This drag amplification is not due to resonant forcing and the detuning is amplitude dependent. For $A = 0.5$, appreciable drag reduction is not visible for $Re = 150$ and drag amplification occurs the most for $f_f/f_0 = 1.0$. For $Re = 1000$ and $A = 0.5$ about 10 to 15% drag reduction is noted for a range of $f_f/f_0$. In Fig. 4, the drag actually increases once again above $f_f/f_0 = 4.0$ for $Re = 1000$, as compared to the cases shown for $Re = 150$. Reasons for this behavior are explored in section 3.5 using POD analysis.

3.2 Effects of Amplitude on Flow Control

For this study, we have focused our attention for the forcing frequency given by $f_f/f_0 = 3.06$. For this forcing frequency, direction of rotation alters after every time interval of $\Delta t = 1$. The region beyond
which the vortices are eventually shed has been termed as the “lock-in” length [19]. In Fig. 5 vorticity contours are shown for different $A$ at the fixed time of $t = 100$ to indicate that the “lock-in” length grows with $A$. To accommodate varying “lock-in” length, the computational domain is increased to $50d$ for all the cases shown in this figure using a $(150 \times 500)$ grid. The first radial grid line is at a distance of $0.002d$ from the cylinder surface and the time step chosen is $\Delta t = 8 \times 10^{-5}$.

For the case of $A = 0.5$, the wake behind the cylinder resembles the wake of a stationary cylinder. However near the surface of the cylinder, formation of separating shear layer is strongly dominated by the rotary oscillation. Separation is promoted in an unsteady manner by the rotary oscillation on that part of the cylinder where the rotary motion creates an upstream motion deep inside the wall boundary layer. This promotes the formation of what has been termed as flapping vortices in Thiria et al. [19], the same is also noted here as small scale vortices those flap around the cylinder before being stripped away periodically.

At smaller values of $A = 0.5$ and 1.0, the small scale vortices retain their size and do not elongate. So vortex stripping mechanism is weaker at lower values of $A$. For $A \geq 1.5$, one can observe a pair of small vortices forming immediately behind the cylinder (marked as $A_1B_1$ in the frame for $A = 1.5$) those grow in radial direction and larger vortices form at the edge of $A_1B_1$ that appear as the vortex street, with significantly lower streamwise distance between the successive vortices. This is a typical structure of vortices within the “lock-in” length. In the far wake - beyond $x/d = 7.5$- the shed vortices for $A = 2$ appear as regular shed vortices for stationary cylinder case. Due to the flapping vortices and the vortex stripping mechanism a broad spectrum of scales are generated in the flow. With increase in amplitude, the “lock-in” length increases slowly up to $A = 2$. Beyond the “lock-in” length, alternate shedding of vortices is due to transition from absolute to convective instability of the flow field. With further increase of $A$, this transition weakens and between $A = 2$ and 2.5, there is a sudden growth in the “lock-in” length. This growth is continued up to $A = 4$, where the “lock-in” length covers the complete length of the computational domain. Distinct weaker vortical structures noted in this frame remain interconnected without any relative motion. Above this value, the “lock-in” length again decreases, as noted for $A = 5$. Next, we identify the scales and frequencies of the flow with change in amplitudes from the integrated loads and their spectra.

Fig. 4. Variation of normalised time-averaged drag coefficient for the indicated Reynolds numbers for a low amplitude of excitation ($A = 0.5$). Note the opposite behaviour of the drag coefficient for $f/f_0 = 1.0$. 
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3.2.1 Loads and Spectra

In Fig. 6(a), the variation of $C_d$ is shown for the different amplitude cases for $Re = 150$ and $f/f_0 = 3.06$ on the left column, along with their FFT on the right column. The unforced case is also included on the top frames for reference. When the rotary oscillation is applied, $C_d$ plots show irregular time variation presence with multiple discrete frequencies present- as seen from the FFT. As also the amplitude is defined by $A = V_{max}/U_\infty$, the case of $A = 1$ indicates that a part of the cylinder surface motion is in-phase with the free stream that releases shear locally. Simultaneously, another part of the surface experiences enhanced shear where the relative velocity is maximum. Any value of $A \neq 1$, will introduce multiple time intervals when flow will change signs. This in essence introduces additional time scales, as seen.

Fig. 5. Computed vorticity contours at $t = 100$ for the case of $Re = 150$ and $f/f_0 = 3.06$ for the indicated amplitudes of excitation.
Fig. 6(a). Drag coefficient variation with time (left) and it’s Fourier transform (right) for the case of Re = 150 and f/f₀ = 3.06 for indicated amplitude of rotary oscillation. Here the amplitudes are in logarithmic scale. The major frequencies have been identified for different amplitude of excitation. The top frames are for the case of stationary cylinder. (Cont.)

in the load history and its spectrum. For values of A << 1, the weaker flapping vortices cause a larger vertical sloshing of the shed vortices from a shorter “lock-in” length that is responsible for largest drag, which has been noted and termed as drag amplification in Thiria et al. [19]. The presence of discrete frequencies as seen in the FFT has been identified to cause alterations in mean flow via fluctuations in [29], [19]. The results in Fig. 6(a), however, indicate that these fluctuations themselves change with the variation of A. For example, between A = 1.8 and 2.0, C_d variation shows a transitional behavior, with the subharmonics progressively disappear. This absence of multi-periodic behavior is the root cause of largest drag reduction for this range of amplitude. It is equally interesting to note that there is only a single peak, as is seen also in the unforced case. However, the peak amplitude is smaller for the optimal
control case, as compared to the unforced case. Above \( A = 2.0 \) one notices increased fluctuating component at all higher frequencies, that accounts for increased drag.

Appreciation of events in the wake can be obtained further from the Fourier transform of the loads. In the unforced case, there is only one dominant peak located at \( f_0 = 0.371 \). When the cylinder executes rotary oscillation at low amplitude, newer frequencies appear - as seen from the FFT for \( A = 0.2 \). Distinct peaks at \( B_d = (f_0 - B_d) \) and \( E_d = (f_d - f_0 - B_d) \) are noted for this case. When \( A \) is increased to 0.5, the peaks are at shifted frequencies. The peak at \( A_d \) interacts with \( f_0 \) to give rise to \( I_d \) and \( J_d \); the difference of these two new frequencies appears as the peak at \( H_d = J_d - I_d \). With further increase in \( A \), \( E_d \) retains its presence while a new time scale appears that has permanent

![Fig. 6(a). Drag coefficient variation with time (left) and it’s Fourier transform (right) for the case of Re = 150 and \( f/f_0 = 3.06 \) for indicated amplitude of rotary oscillation. The amplitudes are in logarithmic scale. The major frequencies have been identified for different amplitude of excitation.](image)
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presence with change in A. This peak at $K_d$ is seen as the combination $K_d = E_d + (J_d + I_d)/2$. The combination of $L_d = (J_d + I_d)/2$ is also present in subsequent frames for $A \geq 0.6$. This coalescence of $J_d$ and $I_d$ into $L_d$ also removes the very low frequency beat noted corresponding to $H_d$. The events at $L_d$ and $E_d$ can be viewed as sub-harmonic excitation of the events at $K_d$. These three frequencies continue to dominate the time variation for $0.8 \leq A \leq 1.5$- above this we note significant drag reduction. It implies that the global drag minimum is achieved by the removal of the sub-harmonic excitation of scales, while their presence can lead to sub-optimal drag reduction. The cases with $A \leq 0.8$ are richer in frequency than the higher amplitude cases. As $A$ increases, amplitude of events at the frequencies $L_d$ and $E_d$ comes down and they are seen to be absent for $A \geq 1.8$, while the amplitude at $K_d$ increases. Strengthening of $K_d$ for $A > 2$ is the cause for drag increase beyond the global minimum.

In Fig. 6(b), the variation of $C_l$ with time and its FFT are shown for few cases. The unforced case shows mainly periodic variation of $C_l$ at Strouhal frequency, with significantly higher frequency fluctuations as well. The lower $A$ cases (not shown here) display two changes: (i) the disappearance of high frequency fluctuations and (ii) the appearance of lower frequency multi-periodic time variation of lift. Both of these changes are consequences of coherent forcing. This also testifies the assertions by previous authors that

Fig. 6(b). Lift coefficient variation with time (left) and its Fourier transform (right) for the case of $Re = 150$ and $f/f_0 = 3.06$ for the indicated amplitudes of rotary oscillation. Here amplitudes are in logarithmic scale. The major frequencies have been identified for different cases. The top frames are for the case of a stationary cylinder.
the forcing enhances two-dimensionality for the controlled flow. Similar to $C_d$ variation, here also the peak-to-peak variation of lift reduces with the amplitude of oscillation up to $A = 2.0$. In the unforced case, there is the dominant frequency at $B_l = f_0/2$. For $A = 1.5$, two peaks appear, one at the frequency $G_l = 1.341f_0$, which is also exactly equal to half the value of the frequency at $K_d$ in Fig. 6(a) and another at $E_l = 0.45f_0$. As we increase amplitude further, the frequency $G_l$ remains dominant in the flow, with another peak at $H_l$ (the forcing frequency) continues to strengthen, but remain sub-dominant.

Fig. 6(c) shows $C_d/C_{d0}$ variation with the forcing amplitude ($A$) for $f/f_0 = 3.06$. As shown here, rotary oscillation at this frequency results in drag reduction for all the amplitude cases. As $A$ increases from...
0 to 2, there is a sharp fall in \( C_D \), followed by the monotonic increase in \( C_D/C_D^0 \) as \( A \) is increased up to 5. This is caused by the following sequence. For low values of \( A \), \( C_D \) is mainly dictated by the wake-width- typical of bluff-body flows. This is noted from the vorticity contour-plots of Fig. 5, for which the lower amplitude cases show wake structure similar to vorticity pattern for stationary cylinder. Width of the wake reduces as we increase \( A \) up to 2, and above \( A = 2 \), we have noted that the “lock-in” length increases forming narrow parallel vortex streets with lower pressure drag, while the drag due to viscous losses keeps increasing with \( A \). With increase in \( A \), the “lock-in” length and drag also increases monotonically up to \( A = 5 \). In Fig. 6(d), the peak-to-peak variation of \( C_D \) and its standard deviation are shown with \( A \). The peak-to-peak variation and its standard deviation show similar trend with two local maxima before \( A = 2 \). At \( A = 2 \) the fluctuations are the least, while both these quantities show monotonic increase thereafter.

The flow behavior displayed for \( Re = 150 \) is quite revealing about the ways various control parameters determine the flow. One of the major parameter being the Reynolds number, its effect is studied in the next subsection for \( Re = 1000 \) and compared with results for \( Re = 150 \) for different forcing frequencies.

### 3.3 Effects of Frequency and Reynolds Number on Loads

Here, effects of variation of frequency are studied for \( Re = 150 \) and 1000 by plotting drag coefficient in Fig. 7(a) as a function of time, for the case of \( A = 0.5 \). One notes that the unexcited case latches on to the natural mode via growth of background disturbances. Because of the smallness of such background disturbances in a computational framework, it takes a while for the system to display visible natural periodic mode. However with a definitive finite excitation imposed by rotary oscillation the fluid dynamical system latches quickly on to the natural periodic mode as compared to the unexcited case. For \( ff/fo = 1.0 \), one can see that the higher Reynolds number case has significantly lower drag as compared to the uncontrolled case, while for \( Re = 150 \), the drag actually increases as compared to the uncontrolled case. The drag reduction for \( Re = 1000 \) is of the order of 15%, while for \( Re = 150 \) the drag coefficient actually increases by more than 20%, as was also shown in Fig. 4. For \( ff/fo = 2 \), both the Reynolds number cases show the drag to reduce as opposed to the \( ff/fo = 1 \) case. Here, the drag reduction is more than 10% for \( Re = 1000 \), while the drag reduction is marginal for \( Re = 150 \). For \( Re = 1000 \), computations have been performed up to \( t = 250 \) to obtain the time averages shown in Fig. 4. We note that the controlled cases for \( Re = 1000 \) display large amplitude, low frequency fluctuations for \( ff/fo \) up to 3.06, that disappears for higher frequency excitations.

In Fig. 7(b), the Fourier transforms of \( C_D \) are compared for \( Re = 150 \) and 1000 cases. Discrete frequencies of importance in the spectra are marked and their values are identified in the frames. From the \( C_D \) data for both the Reynolds number, one can notice low frequency fluctuations- as noted from the time series in Fig. 7(a). These low frequency oscillations are due to interactions of \( fo \) and a neighboring frequency at \( E \), \( H \) and \( K \) for \( ff/fo = 2, 3.06 \) and 4, respectively. Additionally for \( ff/fo = 4 \), the event at \( J \) is due to interaction between \( K \) and \( L \). The low Reynolds number cases are characterized by fewer discrete frequencies, while for \( Re = 1000 \), the spectrum is continuous for lower frequencies of excitation. For \( Re = 1000 \) with \( ff/fo = 4 \), one notes distinct peaks in Fig. 7(b) and there also we see interactions among different discrete frequencies.

### 3.4 Effect of Amplitude of Oscillation on Load for \( Re = 1000 \)

To investigate effects of low amplitude excitations, we have simulated cases of \( ff/fo = 3.06 \) for \( Re = 1000 \) and \( A \leq 1 \). The time series for drag coefficient are shown in Fig. 8(a), for the indicated values of \( A \). All the three controlled cases display a lowering of the drag value, as compared to the uncontrolled value \( C_D = 1.2829 \). Computed \( C_D \) for the three controlled cases for \( A = 0.25, 0.50 \) and 1.00 are obtained as 1.273, 1.165 and 0.8590, respectively, indicating the drag to keep falling with increase in \( A \). Further insight is obtained from the FFT of the time series of \( C_D \) for the above cases. In Fig. 8(b), the spectrum for the drag coefficient of the uncontrolled case shows one difference for \( Re = 1000 \) as compared to the value for \( Re = 150 \) case shown in Fig. 6(a), namely the appearance of a second peak in the FFT at \( fo \) (shown as \( B \) for \( A = 0 \)). For the lower Reynolds number case, this was absent and its presence for \( Re = 1000 \) imply the role of non-linearity at higher Reynolds number originating from the convection terms of Navier-Stokes equation.

With the application of rotary oscillation, one observes the appearance of more peaks in Fig. 8(b) for \( A = 0.25 \) and 0.50, at incommensurate frequencies. However, for \( A = 1 \), we note the peaks to be various sub- and super-harmonics of the fundamental. This once again reveals the special feature of \( A = 1 \) case for higher drag reduction that was discussed for \( Re = 150 \) case in Fig. 6(a), where
Ed and Kd were the superharmonics of Ld. However, that case corresponded to drag increase, instead of drag reduction.

Reasons that one can obtain such high drag reduction for \( Re = 1000 \) at \( A = 1 \) can also be understood from Fig. 9, where we have compared the vorticity contours for this case with the uncontrolled case, for a small time window after the transients have died down. In the figure, the positive vortices are drawn with solid lines and negative ones by dotted lines. There are two reasons for the drag reduction in the controlled cases: (i) the vortices created for the uncontrolled case remain coherent for longer distances in the wake as compared to the controlled case, a testimony for larger drag associated with stronger disturbances created in the uncontrolled case. Also, the vortices in the controlled case form pairs and/or triplets that interact and dissipate while convecting downstream and (ii) the transverse width of the wake for the uncontrolled case is higher as compared to the controlled case, that also points to higher

\[ E_d \] and \( K_d \) were the superharmonics of \( L_d \). However, that case corresponded to drag increase, instead of drag reduction.

Fig. 7 (a). Comparison of time-variation of drag coefficient for \( A = 0.5; Re = 150 \) and \( 1000 \) at indicated forcing frequencies. Note that the top left figure corresponds to unforced case.
drag for the uncontrolled case. For other controlled cases, the drag reduces to a lesser extent, with shed vortices do not cancel pairwise in the far-wake as compared to the case of $A = 1$ shown in Fig. 9.

3.5 Proper Orthogonal Decomposition of Flow Field for Controlled Cases
In many fluid flow problems, as in the present case, coherent structures are present those can be defined with the help of proper orthogonal decomposition (POD), as discussed in Holmes et al. [42]. Here the numerical data over the full domain is used for POD by using the method of snapshots due to Sirovich [43].
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In this method, a number of snapshots $M$ are used for POD analysis that is significantly smaller than the total grid points used for the computation. Data obtained by simulation provide the ensemble of snapshots taken at $M$ instants in time. If one defines the time-varying part of the vorticity field as, $\omega'(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(t) \phi_m(x)$, then $\phi_m$ are obtained as eigenvectors of the covariance matrix whose elements are defined as $R_ij = \langle \omega'(x,t_i) \omega'(x,t_j) \rangle$, with $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,N$ defined over all the points in the domain. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $[R_{ij}]$ provide a statistical measure of different dominant modes of the time-varying field. Specifically the eigenvalue gives the probability of
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Fig. 8(a). Time variation of the drag coefficient for the case of $Re=1000$ and $f/f_0 = 3.06$ for different amplitudes of rotary oscillation (top) and (b) Fourier transform of the drag data for the indicated parameters. Here the amplitudes are in logarithmic scale. Note the sub- and super- harmonics present for the case of $A=1.0$, which represents the lowest drag case for this Reynolds number.

In this method, a number of snapshots $M$, are used for POD analysis that is significantly smaller than the total grid points used for the computation. Data obtained by simulation provide the ensemble of snapshots taken at $M$ instants in time. If one defines the time-varying part of the vorticity field as, $\omega'(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(t) \phi_m(x)$, then $\phi_m$ are obtained as eigenvectors of the covariance matrix whose elements are defined as $R_ij = \langle \omega'(x,t_i) \omega'(x,t_j) \rangle$, with $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,N$ defined over all the points in the domain. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $[R_{ij}]$ provide a statistical measure of different dominant modes of the time-varying field. Specifically the eigenvalue gives the probability of
occurrence of different modes and their cumulative sum gives the total enstrophy of the system. Such details about the flow control for this geometry can also be seen in Dipankar et al. [31].

In Fig. 4 we have noted the completely opposite variations of $C_D$ at same $f_r/f_0$ for two different Reynolds numbers. For the case of $A = 0.5$ and $f_r/f_0 = 1.0$, $C_D$ attains the highest value for $Re = 150$. In contrast, for $Re = 1000$ at the same $f_r/f_0$, $C_D$ was seen to be the minimum. This behavior is studied with the help of POD. For the case of $Re = 150$ and $f_r/f_0 = 1$, we have performed POD analysis by using 39 snapshots from $t = 44$ to 82 at equal intervals. For $Re = 1000$, thirtyfive snapshots were used from $t = 150$ to 235 at an interval of $\Delta T = 2.5$. These time ranges preclude capturing any transient effects.

In Fig. 10(a), the cumulative enstrophy contents of different modes are plotted along with the three leading eigenvectors for the case of $Re = 150$. The first two modes account for more than 95% of the total enstrophy. The vortical structures in the first two modes are coherent, those survive over the full computational domain. The third mode is also coherent, but structurally different and that disappear beyond $x = 10d$. While plotting contour plots for the first three eigenvectors, 50 equispaced contour levels are used between −50 and 50. Contour values are identified by an integer that can be obtained from the stated range and number of contour levels. For the case of $Re = 1000$ with the same rotational parameters, the corresponding eigenvalue information and eigenvectors are shown in Fig. 10(b). Here in contrast, the first two modes account for 80% of total enstrophy. The cumulative contributions by successive modes for the higher Reynolds number are comparatively lower. While only first four modes account for more than 99% of total enstrophy for $Re = 150$, one would require 14 modes to describe the same level of enstrophy for $Re = 1000$. For the first three eigenvectors shown in Fig. 10(b), we have
shown 200 contours between –100 to 100. For this case, the eigenvectors are characterized by the absence of large scale coherent vortices in the wake, as compared to the lower Reynolds number case. This shows that the larger coherent structures are responsible for the higher drag at $Re = 150$, while their breaking up into smaller structures causes the drag to be lower for $Re = 1000$, as compared to the corresponding uncontrolled case.

In Fig. 6(c), we plotted the variation of drag coefficient for $Re = 150$ with $A$ for $f_f/f_0 = 3.06$ and noted two drag minima at $A = 0.8$ and $A = 2$. In the following, we identify differences between these drag minima with the help of POD in Fig. 11(a) and 11(b). For $A = 0.8$, a total of 41 snapshots are taken from $t = 70$ to 150, at an interval of $\Delta t = 2$. In Fig. 11(a), cumulative enstrophy contents of different modes

Fig. 10(a). POD analysis for $Re = 150$; $A = 0.5$ and $f_f/f_0 = 1$ case. Top frame indicates cumulative enstrophy distribution among the eigen modes using data from $t = 44$ to 82. The bottom three frames are the corresponding eigen functions. Note that this controlled case actually results in drag increase compared to the uncontrolled case. Contour levels are as described in the text.
along with the first three eigenvectors are shown. The first three modes account for 95% of total enstrophy, with the first mode carrying 59% of the enstrophy. In the plotted eigenvectors, 50 contours are plotted in the range of –25 to 25. One also notices that the maximum and minimum of enstrophy levels for this case is half of that was shown in Fig. 10(a), where we noted drag increase. However, the large coherent structures are similar for the first two modes. The third mode here is not as coherent as it was in Fig. 10(a). Thus, one concludes that the wake structure remains same for this case, only the lowering of the disturbances in the wake is responsible for lower drag. For the case of \( A = 2 \), there is a qualitative difference of the flow field, as shown in Fig. 11(b). Firstly, we note the enstrophy contents of successive mode reduces significantly. The first mode now accounts for only 30%, as compared to 59% of total enstrophy for the case shown in Fig. 11(a). Also, to account for 95% of enstrophy, one needs only three modes for the case of \( A = 0.8 \), the same requires taking 11 modes for the lowest drag.
case of $A = 2$. For the POD analysis in Fig. 11(b), we have taken 32 snapshots between $t = 70$ and 132 at an interval of $\Delta t = 2$. For the displayed seven leading eigenvectors in Fig. 11(b), we have taken 30 contours between $-2.5$ and $2.5$. Here, seven eigenvectors are required to account for 90% of total enstrophy. Also, lower dynamic range reveals lower drag for this case than the cases shown in Fig. 11(a). From the plotted eigenvectors, one can notice the qualitatively different vortical structures for the first two modes. Here the structures are like an arrowhead all the way to the end of the domain. In Fig. 11(a), such weak arrowheads are noted only in the near-wake. These mode shapes are prototypical of cases displaying significant drag reduction for lower Reynolds numbers - as noted in the pair of first and second modes.

Fig. 11(a). POD analysis for $Re = 150$; $A = 0.8$ and $f_1/f_0 = 3.06$ case. Top frame indicates cumulative enstrophy distribution among the eigen modes using data from $t = 70$ to 150. The bottom three frames are the corresponding eigen functions. Note that this is a suboptimal drag reduction case. Contour levels are as described in the text.
These results help us to understand the flow structures when drag reduction is achieved. For the uncontrolled cases or the cases where one instead notices drag increase, the flow is characterized by single coherent persisting vortical structures in the wake. Upon the application of control, the above vortical structures transform in an arrowhead configuration. Appearance and sustenance of such arrowheads in the eigenmodes indicate drag minimum for low Reynolds numbers. The importance of these arrowheads, in realizing large drag reduction at low Reynolds number can be further appreciated by comparing the sub-optimal drag reduction case shown in Fig. 11(a) with the global minimum case shown in Fig. 11(b). In the former figure, the arrowheads just make their appearance, while it is fully formed in Fig. 11(b). However, for higher Reynolds number the vortical structures in the near-wake are fine-grained and do not show arrowhead-like eigenmodes, as shown in Fig. 10(b) for Re = 1000.

Fig. 11(b). POD analysis for Re = 150; A = 2.0 and f/f_0 = 3.06 case. Top frame indicates cumulative enstrophy distribution among the eigen modes using data from t = 70 to 132. The bottom three frames are the corresponding eigen functions. Note that this is an optimal drag reduction case. Contour levels are as described in the text. (Cont.)
3.6 Energy Spectrum of Bluff-Body Flows

The energy spectrum of the flow past a stationary circular cylinder is qualitatively different from that representing steady flow past streamlined bodies due to unsteadiness of the flow for $Re > 45$. For high Reynolds number turbulent flows, injected energy at the large scale cascades down to smaller scales via vortex stretching - a mechanism absent for two-dimensional flows. Thus, small-scale vortices seen in two-dimensional simulation of the flow past a circular cylinder executing rotary oscillation cannot be explained by this stretching mechanism. A specific instability mechanism was shown theoretically and experimentally, in Sengupta et al. [27] and Lim et al. [28] that created spanwise small-scale vortices in a boundary layer. This mechanism can be present for both two- and three-dimensional flows. Here we show this mechanism also to be present, using the two-dimensional simulation results. For the present problem Shiels & Leonard [26] have also noted the forcing could impose strong two-dimensionality on the near-wake. The essential idea [27] is that any small scale vortex created near the surface is a consequence of the growth of disturbance energy via a dispersion mechanism. The disturbance energy can be expressed as given in the following. If Navier-Stokes equation is expressed in rotational form for incompressible flows, then the quantity $\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} V^2\right)$, is recognized as the

Fig. 11(b). POD analysis for $Re = 150; A = 2.0$ and $f/f_0 = 3.06$ case. The frames are for fourth to seventh eigen functions- all of which accounts for 90% of total enstrophy. Contour levels are as described in the text.
mechanical energy ($E$) of the flow and its instantaneous distribution can be described by an equation derived by taking divergence of the Navier-Stokes equation in rotational form to give,

$$\nabla^2 E = \nabla \cdot (V \times \omega),$$

(10)

If physical quantities are further split into primary and disturbance components by identifying them with subscripts $m$ and $d$ respectively, then it can be shown that the distribution of disturbance component of mechanical energy is governed by its linearized form of equation (10),

$$\nabla^2 E_d = 2\omega_m \cdot \omega_d + V_m \cdot \nabla^2 V_d + \nabla \cdot \nabla^2 V_m,$$

(11)

Equations (10) and (11) can be used to explain various phenomena observed for bluff-body flows. First and foremost, we can distinguish two-dimensional and three-dimensional flow evolution, by noting the mechanical energy of the flow as a consequence of forcing given by the right hand side of equations (10) and (11). In these equations, the right hand side is stronger in two-dimensional flows, due to the fact that $V$ and $\omega$ are always mutually orthogonal. In comparison for three-dimensional flows, component of velocity field that is orthogonal with the vorticity field will contribute to the forcing term on the right hand side of equation (10). Similarly, in equation (11), $\omega_m$ and $\omega_d$ are parallel to each other for two-dimensional disturbance and primary fields. It will not be so, when either the primary or the disturbance field is three-dimensional. In the same way, $V_m$ and $V_d$ are respectively, parallel to $\nabla^2 V_d$ and $\nabla^2 V_m$ for two-dimensional primary and disturbance field. For vortex dominated flows, this mechanism of energy production was found in Sengupta et al. [27] to be responsible for directly creating small-scale vortices, bypassing the more commonly known stretching mechanism for energy cascade. When this bypass mechanism is present for two-dimensional flows, it causes larger production of disturbance energy as compared to that for three-dimensional flows by this bypass mechanism and is relatively weaker. Additional small-scale vortices can be created by the vortex stretching mechanism also. The fact that two-dimensional bluff-body flows display larger loads, wake-width and shorter formation length, indicate that the bypass mechanism is the stronger of the mechanisms for energy cascades discussed above.

We have calculated the right hand side of equation (10) for the two cases of $Re = 150$ and $Re = 1000$ with $A = 0.5$ and $f/f_0 = 1.0$ at different time levels to show the effective forcing for the creation of disturbance energy. In Fig. 12, we have compared the right hand side of equation (10) for these two Reynolds number cases. The positive right hand side contours are indicated by solid lines while the negative contours are indicated by dotted lines. As noted in Sengupta et al. [27], the positive right hand side indicates location of an energy sink, while negative right hand side indicates locally a source of disturbance energy. For $Re = 150$, one notes formation of triplets or a group of three vortices composed of two sinks and a source of disturbance energy. As time progresses, this group moves together towards the outflow. Such formation of groups can be observed for $Re = 1000$ also, but the size of individual groups are small as compared to the $Re = 150$ case. Also the groups disappear rapidly as they move away from the cylinder. This kind of energy structure results in a lower drag coefficient for $Re = 1000$, as compared to the $Re = 150$ case.

Finally, a brief summary of all the computed cases and the major observations have been provided in Table 1 for the two Reynolds number cases investigated in the present work.

### 4 CONCLUSION

With very good qualitative and quantitative match between the experimental results in Thiria et al. [19] and present numerical simulations, we discuss here two physical mechanisms for drag reduction for flow past a circular cylinder undergoing rotary oscillations. There is a qualitative difference as to how rotary oscillation parameters alter the flow differently for different Reynolds numbers, different amplitudes ($A$) and frequency of rotary oscillation ($f/f_0$). Normalized time-averaged drag coefficient has opposite behavior for $Re = 150$ and $Re = 1000$ for the same forcing amplitude, as shown in Fig. 4. Different $A$ gives rise to different vorticity dynamics, as seen in Fig. 5. This helps us understand the effect of $A$ in creating flapping vortices [19] next to the cylinder that controls the dynamics of the flow.

Detailed load distribution for different $A$ at a fixed frequency ($f/f_0 = 3.06$) are shown in Fig. 6 to identify the optimal $A$ for which maximum drag reduction is obtained for $Re = 150$, that confirms with
the experimental results [19]. Additionally, one can also locate different minima for time averaged and fluctuating drag for this case, as shown in Fig. 6(c) and 6(d). Different parameters change the spectrum, as the FFT plots in Fig. 6(a) indicate that for $0 < A < 1.0$, display more frequencies than for the cases with $A > 1.0$.

In Fig. 7, the flow behavior for $Re = 150$ and $Re = 1000$ have been compared to understand the effects as a function of Reynolds number for different amplitude of excitation cases. For $Re = 1000$ it is identified that the drag reduction is maximum for $A = 1$ (as shown in Fig. 8(a)), whereas for $Re = 150$ this was seen to occur for $A = 2$ when the forcing frequency is $f_f/f_0 = 3.06$, as shown in Fig. 6(c). The difference in parameter values for control effectiveness at different Reynolds number is traced to the role of non-linearity becoming more important at relatively higher Reynolds number.

From the vorticity contours in Fig. 9 for $Re = 1000$, one notices the role of $A$ that modifies the von Karman vortex shedding optimally by comparing it with the uncontrolled case. The vortices in the wake
remain coherent and sustained for the uncontrolled case, whereas for the controlled case, the vortices form pairs and destroy them before they reach the far-wake.

The vorticity dynamics as affected by forcing parameters can also be understood by POD analysis. This helps one to understand in a statistical sense, the number of eigenmodes of the flow and cumulative enstrophy carried by them. Fig. 10 and 11 show that to achieve drag reduction, single, long lasting, coherent structures for the uncontrolled case should be removed by a proper choice of control parameters for $Re = 150$. Also, the enstrophy carried by the first few modes is less for the optimal case, as compared to that for the uncontrolled and high drag cases. In Fig. 11(a) and 11(b), an optimal controlled case is compared with another sub-optimal controlled case. Typical vortical structures those lead to maximum drag reduction are identified as producing arrowhead-like eigenfunctions.

Finally, the energy spectrum of two-dimensional bluff-body flow is investigated with the help of energy based receptivity analysis [27]. This helps one to identify disturbance energy sources and sinks in the near wake, as shown in Fig. 12 for the cases of $Re = 150$ and $Re = 1000$ for $A = 0.5$ and $f_1/f_0 = 1$. From Fig. 4, we have seen that $Re = 150$ the drag actually increased, while for $Re = 1000$ there is large sub-optimal drag reduction. In both the cases shown in Fig. 12, triplets of disturbance energy sinks and a source are identified those remain coherent in the lower Reynolds number case, while for the higher Reynolds numbers such structures are smaller and dissipative.
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### Table 1 Summary of the various computed cases while studying effect of rotary oscillation on drag reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>$Re$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$f_1/f_0$</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$0.5;0.75;1.0;1.5;2.3;3.0.6;4.0$</td>
<td>Maximum drag for $f_1/f_0 = 0.75$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$1.0;2.0;3.0;3.0.6;4.0$</td>
<td>Maximum drag for $f_1/f_0 = 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$0.2;0.4;0.5;0.6;0.8;0.9;1.0;1.2;1.5;1.65;1.8;1.9;2.0;2.5;3.0;4.0;5.0$</td>
<td>Maximum drag for $f_1/f_0 = 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$0.5;1.0;2.0;3.6;3.5;4.0;5.0$</td>
<td>Global minimum drag for $f_1/f_0 = 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$0.2;0.5;1.0$</td>
<td>Minimum drag for $A = 2.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.25;0.5;1.0</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>Minimum drag for $A = 1.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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